

Contents

| | |
|--|-----------|
| <i>List of Tables, Figures and Boxes</i> | x |
| <i>List of Abbreviations</i> | xii |
| <i>Preface</i> | xvii |
| <i>Acknowledgements</i> | xix |
| | |
| 1 International Relations and International Security | 1 |
| International security: politics, policy and prospects | 3 |
| Theory and research design in international security | 8 |
| Evolution of the international security research agenda | 11 |
| | |
| 2 Continuity and Change in International Security Studies | 16 |
| International security studies in the interwar period | 17 |
| International security studies and the Cold War | 21 |
| International security studies after the Cold War | 27 |
| Globalization and human security | 38 |
| Summary | 43 |
| | |
| 3 The Politics of International Security | 45 |
| The politics of international security: basic assumptions | 47 |
| The value–threat relationship and international security | 53 |
| Stakeholders and international security | 57 |
| Political debates about international security | 61 |
| The politics of policy effectiveness | 65 |
| Summary | 69 |
| | |
| 4 Interstate War | 70 |
| Interstate war and international security | 71 |
| Stakeholder factors | 77 |
| Interstate war as a policy problem | 84 |
| The politics of policy effectiveness | 90 |
| Summary | 95 |
| | |
| 5 Intrastate War | 98 |
| Intrastate war and international security | 99 |
| Stakeholder factors | 105 |
| Intrastate war as a policy problem | 111 |
| The politics of policy effectiveness | 119 |
| Summary | 123 |

| | | |
|-----------|--|------------|
| 6 | Weapons Proliferation | 125 |
| | Weapons proliferation and international security | 125 |
| | Stakeholder factors | 133 |
| | Proliferation as a policy problem | 136 |
| | The politics of policy effectiveness | 145 |
| | Summary | 149 |
| 7 | International Terrorism | 151 |
| | International terrorism and international security | 152 |
| | Stakeholder factors | 158 |
| | International terrorism as a policy problem | 165 |
| | The politics of policy effectiveness | 173 |
| | Summary | 177 |
| 8 | International Crime | 179 |
| | International crime and international security | 180 |
| | Stakeholder factors | 185 |
| | International crime as a policy problem | 191 |
| | The politics of policy effectiveness | 200 |
| | Summary | 204 |
| 9 | International Economic Security | 206 |
| | International economics and international security | 207 |
| | Stakeholder factors | 211 |
| | International economic security as a policy problem | 218 |
| | The politics of policy effectiveness | 224 |
| | Summary | 228 |
| 10 | International Environmental and Resource Security | 230 |
| | The environment, natural resources, and international security | 231 |
| | Stakeholder factors | 238 |
| | The environment and resource depletion as policy problems | 244 |
| | The politics of policy effectiveness | 250 |
| | Summary | 253 |
| 11 | International Public Health | 256 |
| | International public health and international security | 257 |
| | Stakeholder factors | 263 |
| | International public health as a policy problem | 267 |
| | The politics of policy effectiveness | 274 |
| | Summary | 278 |
| 12 | International Population Trends | 280 |
| | International population trends and international security | 280 |
| | Stakeholder factors | 289 |

| | |
|---|------------|
| Population trends as a policy problem | 294 |
| The politics of policy effectiveness | 300 |
| Summary | 303 |
| Conclusion: The Future of International Security Studies | 305 |
| The unsteady state of international security studies | 307 |
| The future international security research agenda | 311 |
| From international security to human security? | 316 |
| <i>Bibliography</i> | 320 |
| <i>Index</i> | 349 |

International Relations and International Security

Wars, arms races, and weapons of mass destruction. Terrorism, insurgencies, and suicide bombings. Genocides, infectious diseases, and refugee crises. Oil depletion, global climate change, and economic collapse. Drug trafficking, cyber-war, and piracy. These and other international security problems continue to plague humanity and make disturbing headlines on a regular, even relentless, basis. In fact, the list of such daunting problems seems so endless that if economics is the original 'dismal science' then the study of international security must be a very close second to it (Kapstein, 2002/03). Yet this field can also be as exciting and fulfilling as it is depressing, for it forces us to answer two very simple, but very critical, questions about the human condition: *what do we really value*, and *how far will we go to protect those valued things?* One might even say we cannot even comprehend other philosophical questions about our existence, purpose, and destiny until these fundamental questions have been addressed – that is, until we feel more *secure*.

International security also occupies a central position in the broader academic discipline of international relations, yet there have been dramatic changes in the scope and content of these subjects since they emerged nearly a century ago. During the first decades of the twentieth century, the study of international relations focused on security affairs, particularly the problem of war. Today, however, the discipline includes a wider range of major research fields: international security, international political economy, international organizations and institutions, international law, foreign policy analysis, and area or regional studies. Each of these fields in turn has produced its own sub-fields, many of which pay varying degrees of attention to security issues. However, while international relations grew in the decades after World War II, international security as a major field within that discipline remained fairly narrowly construed – mainly in terms of the study of international war and strategy – for most of the twentieth century. Three twentieth-century conflicts in particular – the two world wars and the Cold War – helped to maintain this more narrow focus of international security even as other threats and problems emerged. International security in fact was largely synonymous with a range of

subjects often associated with the use of military force: national security policy, strategic studies, defence studies, military studies, war studies, and so on.

The past two decades, however, have seen a major expansion and re-organization of the field. Even during the Cold War, some scholars began questioning the strategic, war-focused approach to international security and to related fields within international relations (Ullman, 1983). America's inability to prevail in Vietnam despite its clear preponderance of military power; the rise of new centres of power in Asia, Europe, and elsewhere; the increasing prominence of non-state actors and international institutions; and the transformation of environmental, economic, health, and other (formerly) 'low politics' issues into 'high politics' security problems all put pressures on the traditional view of international security. These pressures increased dramatically starting in the late 1980s with the end of the Cold War and the collapse of the Soviet Union, and since that time the field of international security has endured a great deal of fragmentation and contestation, more so perhaps in the past decade or two than at any other time in its history. These debates involve not only the types of threats thought to be relevant to contemporary international security affairs, but also the types of theories and concepts, and even general ideologies or world views, used to analyze and understand them.

These trends create multiple challenges for those who research and teach international security studies (Buzan, 1991; Walt, 1991; Baldwin, 1997; Betts, 1997). However, if we approach international security as a distinct academic discipline with its own unique research agenda, then two simple premises follow: First, that in undertaking any field of academic inquiry one must start by fundamentally problematizing the core concerns of that field while excluding other issues or holding them constant as working assumptions. Once these core concerns have been addressed through a combination of theoretical innovation and cumulative empirical research, we can then relax the initial working assumptions and extend the boundaries of the field into new or related areas of inquiry. The second premise is that despite the plethora of theories, concepts, and topics that increasingly complicate, if not confuse, the study of international security, there is in fact a single common thread running through most scholarly works on the topic, no matter how narrowly or broadly defined. That thread involves the idea that 'security' is not just a social concept or topic to be studied or analyzed; it also a problem to be managed or otherwise controlled by human communities on a regular basis if they hope to survive. The term 'control', however, implies some degree of power or authority, or in other words: politics.

International security: politics, policy and prospects

Anyone who hopes to teach or understand international security affairs as an academic subject must begin by considering three related debates about the appropriate boundaries of the field. The first debate is the most general but also the most critical. This involves how to balance the analysis of tangible trends, decisions and policies against speculation about what the world should be doing about certain security problems, whether actual or potential. Or more simply, this is the question of balancing description/explanation against prescription/advocacy, although both tasks are related. The second debate involves the appropriate frame of reference in terms of who or what, exactly, should be secured, and how. Specifically, 'international security' can be conceived more narrowly as states (meaning their governments, territories, citizens, and sovereign rights) and the state system itself, or far more broadly in terms of just about any valued thing on the planet. The third debate involves the role of force or violence in identifying major threats and in determining the most effective response to dealing with those threats. Again, this question can be framed more narrowly in terms of military threats met with a military response, or more broadly in terms of a range of threats, both military and non-military, met with a much wider range of policies.

As this volume is concerned with examining the state of international security studies based on contemporary thinking and practice, I must justify how my treatment of the topic addresses the three debates above. First, this volume focuses on empirical research regarding the determination of whether certain problems 'qualify' as international security concerns. No community can devote its full attention to all types of policy problems all of the time, so we need to understand the general processes of selection by which security priorities are set by such communities. Second, I am concerned with the collective management of security problems once they have been identified as such. As problem determination and management involve politics and policy, it is appropriate to structure the discussion around the role of states as key referent objects to be protected, whether in terms of their territories, their citizens, their governments, or their sovereignty as political communities – or all of the above. States in particular are not only charged with providing security for their citizens, they also have the authority to set public priorities, make security policy, apply force, and extract private resources, in terms of physical and human capital, from the societies they ostensibly protect.

Of course, in speaking of states acting or deciding, I actually mean 'governments,' which in turn means the officials charged with providing a range of services in exchange for our allegiance and our compulsory contributions to the public welfare through taxes and, in some states, universal military service. To the extent that international security problems,

whether in part or in total, are explicitly delegated to states for resolution, we must pay attention to processes of national policy formation and international cooperation when analyzing those problems. Thus, although many *potential* security problems and referent objects may appear on the scene or attract the attention of security specialists, my primary concern here is with how these problems are ‘politicized’ (that is, made an explicit object of political action) into important international security issues. Finally, once states have become involved, it then follows that their wishes can be backed up by the threat of force as they continue to claim a monopoly on the legitimate use of violence (Weber, 1918). Although some security scholars define ‘force’ or ‘violence’ in military terms, this volume takes a broader view to encompass policing, border control, travel restrictions, and other types of official force to determine just how seriously the international community defines a specific threat (Jentleson, 2002).

Based on these considerations, this volume advances a *political analysis* approach, as compared to a *public policy* or *strategic analysis* approach, to international security, although the three are obviously related. As I shall discuss further in Chapter 3, a political analysis approach starts with the interests, power resources, and activities of major political actors involved in the management of international security affairs. It assumes that such actors have conflicting interests, security and otherwise, and multiple demands on their attention and resources. Interest definition and threat perception, which factor into all international security problems, are inherently political processes; they can be changed or interpreted according to domestic and international circumstances. Actors involved in these processes also must pay attention to not only international politics but national or domestic politics as well, and often simultaneously. Conversely, a policy or strategic approach starts with the nature of the security problem or strategic game itself – as first ‘objectively’ defined by the analyst – and then determines the mix of resources that should be mobilized to manage that problem, or to play that strategic ‘game’, most effectively (Schelling, 1966; Kennedy, 1991). Obviously politics, or competitions about power or status or influence, is involved in both approaches, yet the key question is how we prioritize these political contests: as a fundamental dynamic governing all major aspects of international security affairs, or as an *ad hoc* peripheral concern used, along with a range of other variables, to explain why actors effectively managed (or failed to manage) a security problem.

This volume deliberately treats politics as an essential dynamic behind a full range of contemporary international security problems. Yet the ways by which political debates influence outcomes will vary depending on the key referent objects or values to be secured, on the role of force as a means to secure those values, and on the range of actors, problems, or issues to be defined as threats. In light of these considerations, we shall need to adopt

a variable rather than rigid approach to these definitional questions, starting with the more orthodox, narrow and traditional views about international security (state-centric, focusing on military force, and defining issues in relation to threats to state survival) and moving on to more contested, broader, and somewhat less orthodox or less traditional views (non-state-centric, the use of other policy tools in addition to or instead of military force, and defining issues relative to other values beyond state survival). This approach – treating international security as an academic discipline bounded by a range of variously contested views about core conceptual and empirical elements – generally informs the selection and presentation of the problems covered in the volume, starting with the more traditional treatment of international war as a core security concern in Chapter 4 and then broadening the debate with each successive chapter.

A political approach to international security is justified further by several other considerations. One is that the term ‘security’ itself is often applied deliberately as a political tactic by some actors to stifle debate, assume more power, or gain control over resources in a human community; therefore we must be sensitive to this possibility throughout the discussion. State actors in particular assume a special capacity to define issues as ‘security’ problems, which may then undermine the ability of other actors to question how those problems should be governed (Ikenberry, Lake and Mastanduno, 1988). They also may claim that such problems, once defined, should then be considered as ‘above politics’, or de-politicized, a claim which itself is inherently political in nature. In this volume, no international security issues are inherently ‘above politics.’ States also claim a monopoly over security-related information, or intelligence, not normally privy to many other actors. This monopoly, combined with other factors outlined in Chapter 3, means we must be *more* rather than less sensitive to fundamental political questions operating ‘behind the scenes’: who benefits from the protection of certain values, who governs, and who pays? In other words, who wins and who loses in the high-stakes global politics of international security?

A second consideration is that the analysis of security problems often involves ‘worst case’ scenarios and assumptions. Once an issue has been framed as a security concern, policy-makers often think about the most terrible outcomes in order to avoid the maximum potential damage surrounding that threat. Typically this means thinking about the risks or damage caused by the most extreme situations, no matter how improbable those scenarios might be. In addition, this type of mentality might involve thinking the worst of an enemy or other adversary, even to the extent of demonizing those actors so much that trust or dialogue become virtually impossible. Sometimes this kind of thinking is just prudent planning by well-meaning policy specialists; at other times, however, it may be a political tactic to prioritize one security problem, or one branch of government,

over another. Again, a political analysis of international security means we must assume that all actors involved, no matter how well-meaning they may seem, typically possess a range of motives in adopting certain policies about security problems, not all of which are directly related to merely 'solving' that problem. Politics means that larger questions of status or influence or control are always involved in international security affairs, and in ways that go well beyond the need to manage a given security problem to the satisfaction of those who hope to be made secure by their political authorities.

Finally, security policies can be further prone to politicization in light of many other considerations, not the least of which is the political status such policies confer on certain actors, or the various resources those policies allow one to control. If security policies are meant to protect or maintain a current state of affairs (that is, a 'status quo posture') then actors who do not benefit from the status quo, such as the current distribution of global wealth, will find it extremely difficult to protect their interests or promote change in such a system. Conversely, if security policies attempt to change the current state of affairs (that is, a 'revisionary posture'), as in the case of 'regime change,' then this too will benefit some actors at the expense of others. Neither policy will necessarily improve *international* security, although the distribution of costs and benefits may change dramatically and can then be interpreted by some actors as a positive outcome for the international community.

In addition to the politics/policy-oriented approach to the topic, this volume is structured in light of three other major themes. These themes will be developed more fully in Chapter 2, yet it is worth flagging them here to help set the stage. The first theme involves the relationship between international and national (including domestic or homeland) security. As the title indicates, this volume is explicitly concerned with *international security* affairs rather than *security studies* or *national/homeland security*. Obviously there are no clear distinctions between these levels of analysis, and security problems that begin at the national level can easily migrate to the transnational and international levels (and vice versa). I shall return to this point in more detail in the following chapters, yet it should be clear at the outset that the most important criterion for including certain topics in this volume is that they have been explicitly defined as international security problems by a critical mass of both scholars and policy-makers. The term 'international' need not mean or imply 'global' either (that is, in the sense of affecting the entire planet or all of humanity); only that a problem has been identified by authoritative international actors as important or complex enough to require the sustained and focused attention of many states and other international actors.

A second theme running throughout this volume involves the specific relationship between state and non-state actors in defining and managing

various international security problems covered in later chapters. As I have noted, although the discussion throughout this volume assumes an essential role for states, particularly the UK, the US, and other leading players, it also pays close attention to state-based international organizations (IOs), including more traditional military alliances (such as the North Atlantic Treaty Organisation, or NATO) or regional IOs that address certain international security problems (such as the European Union, or EU). It also attempts to incorporate the role of non-state actors as threats, referent objects, or even security providers (see below). These non-state actors might take the form of non-governmental organizations (NGOs), private firms (especially multinational corporations, or MNCs), terrorist groups, organized criminal gangs, and others depending on the security issue at hand.

A third and final theme involves the relationship between public and private authority, or states and markets. Here things get somewhat more complicated, but also more representative of contemporary politics and economics, as the borderline between ‘public’ and ‘private’ activity is becoming increasingly blurred, even in the realm of international security affairs. Public officials must be increasingly responsive to private demands for more security, a process that becomes more complicated as new issues are added to an ever-expanding agenda. Even more interesting is the greater role of private actors in *providing* security services, either directly or indirectly. The *direct* private supply of security services typically takes the form of private military contractors (PMCs), who may supply a large share of material and services, including armed personnel, to governments for the purposes of managing a range of security problems, including peacekeeping and war-fighting. In other words, ‘non-state’ actors can be contracted to take on ‘state-like’ functions, even to the extent of using force. The 2003 war in Iraq in particular has involved a very large number of PMCs amounting to thousands of individuals (Spearin, 2003; Singer, 2007), all of whom provide services similar to those of traditional national armies, yet this trend has been evident for years. In 1991, for example, there was roughly one PMC employee for every 100 US soldiers; ten years later – before the 2003 Iraq war – the figure had already risen to about ten PMCs per 100 soldiers. This trend is even more difficult to measure, but just as important, in terms of analyzing the public–private balance regarding many new security issues, such as organized crime and pandemic disease.

The *indirect* private supply of security services might involve the role of medical firms, banks, internet service providers (ISPs), and other companies who assist governments with their various forms of expertise to help provide security. Individual citizens and firms also may hire their own security services to protect their interests, conduct investigations, or recover lost assets, which can involve the use of armed personnel with quasi-policing

capabilities. Security has always been big business, and the use of mercenaries and privateers by states and firms has a long and complicated history (Thomson, 1990), yet the modern expansion of private involvement ranging from arms manufacturers to armed security providers has greatly increased the profits to be earned from various international security missions. To the extent that these profits involve the legal extraction of resources from individual citizens in the form of tax revenues, public oversight becomes an issue. These trends, all of which have been growing over the past few decades, make it increasingly difficult to determine the appropriate, effective, and legal lines of authority and accountability we typically associate with government activities. Moreover, they mean we need to take a critical look at how states actually exercise their (supposed) monopoly over the (supposedly) legitimate use of violence; in other words, violence can be, and has been, sub-contracted or out-sourced to other actors, not all of whom can easily be made accountable. The same holds true of other security activities covered in this volume, in both traditional and non-traditional problem areas.

Theory and research design in international security

As we shall see in Chapter 2, the expansion of international security as a field of study has been accompanied by a similar expansion of theories and concepts. These can be based on widely diverging views about not just the fundamentals of international security but about knowledge creation, or epistemology, itself. What we study is strongly influenced by what we want, what we value, and what we think is right; these priorities can greatly complicate the pursuit of knowledge, particularly in the social sciences. Realism in particular has been dominant in part because it helped to legitimize the conduct and values of certain states during the Cold War, when international security developed into a distinct field of study. And scholars study war more generally often because they want to end wars, or make them less likely or destructive, or make their own state's victory in war more likely, not merely because war is a fascinating example of human behaviour. In other words, both policy-makers and theorists may be less interested in understanding behaviour and more interested in defending a certain viewpoint or making a decision on an important issue, even if these goals might be suboptimal or ineffective because of an incorrect analysis of the problem, time constraints, or similar obstacles.

This tendency to offer incomplete or quick prescriptions in addition to, or even instead of, furthering our basic understanding of international security affairs, is directly related to the fact that security is often driven by current events, and involves issues of life and death. These facts can profoundly influence the kinds of research that specialists conduct; the

dramatic rise of terrorism studies in the past few years is a case in point, as with the dramatic rise of strategic (nuclear) studies in the 1950s. Yet these problems and events do not lead, automatically, to a specific theory; instead, one's choice of a theory strongly pre-conditions how one frames or *problematizes* a research question. Thus the choice of one's theory must not be taken lightly, nor taken for granted; it should be made as explicitly as possible in any respectable research effort, and then defended.

As international security is very value-laden as a discipline, it can be difficult to study objectively or scientifically. One must constantly be aware of the value claims of the researcher, particularly when they are not stated at the outset (and they usually are not). In addition, the modern study of international security can be extremely complex, and involve history, politics, economics, culture, law, ethics, military studies, strategy, technology, and many other factors. Although I defend a political approach to the topic in more detail in Chapter 3, it should be clear at the outset that answering specific research questions about international security might involve concepts or factors from all of these areas. Yet how do we organize all of these disciplines and, more importantly, compare our findings with those of other researchers? With the systematic and rigorous use of theory and data.

To begin, all research or knowledge production starts with a question. Although this initial question can be specific or general (that is, explaining one war or all wars), most research is typically oriented towards the creation of cumulative knowledge, which means the researcher must constantly be thinking about how to *generalize* the facts or findings specific to one case to draw broader lessons from it, then test and gradually build upon those lessons by looking at other related cases. This is where theory comes in, and the problem of generalizability raises the more specific question of inductive versus deductive research designs. An *inductive* approach would attempt to examine evidence or data first, then try to draw general conclusions based on certain patterns within the evidence. *Deductive* research designs reverse this process: they start with a theory (or set of theoretical causal propositions, usually known as *hypotheses*), then attempt to find evidence to support or reject that theory. In doing so they attempt to relate individual phenomena (such as a war) to larger classes of phenomena (such as all wars or political violence) in order to prove theoretical linkages between them.

One might assume that an inductive approach is best as it (supposedly) reduces the chance of bias on the part of the researcher, yet a critical problem here is that the study of social phenomena is fundamentally different from the study of natural phenomena. As there are no social laws equivalent to physical laws in the universe, there really is no such thing as purely inductive (that is, totally objective and atheoretical) social science research. The study of international security therefore is not like that of the physical

sciences, where experiments and other inductive observations, even unintentional ones, can often yield insightful theoretical findings. Finally, one also must be aware of the so-called 'inductivist illusion', as cultural anthropologist Claude Lévi-Strauss (1963) once put it. The illusion is that social facts will 'speak for themselves' if one merely collects enough data; the reality, however, is that empirical observations and experience rarely if ever lead directly to robust and convincing causal explanations. They may yield puzzles, questions, and even educated guesses (hypotheses) to help generate 'quasi-theories' or 'pre-theories' but not much more. In fact, in the social sciences one could easily be overwhelmed by a mass of useless detail depending on where one looks for answers: public opinion polls, diplomatic archives, military statistics, voting records, newspaper articles, elite interviews, and so on. Especially when studying highly complex social phenomena like wars and disease and poverty, one must be prepared to generalize first, and then attempt to find supporting evidence later through the use of specific methodologies to reduce the possibility of bias: this is the process of rigorous deductive empirical research. In other words, it is better to be explicit 'up front' about one's views of the world and attempt to control them through solid research design rather than assert that one is going to look at a topic with completely fresh eyes and (supposedly) defend no values whatsoever. However, if one adopts such a deductive approach to research, then one must in turn be very specific about how a given theory is used. In this volume, 'theory' simply means a *proposed* explanation whose status is still conjectural in contrast to well-established propositions that are regarded as reporting matters of actual fact. More persuasive theories will inspire or motivate a specific research programme or agenda, which then attempts to prove, disprove, or revise the theory at hand to help accumulate knowledge and move academic inquiry forward (Rosenau and Rosenau, 2000).

Theories and the research programmes they inspire can also vary in terms of their scope, which introduces another consideration into the effort. At one end of the spectrum, theories as defined above can apply to large classes of events or long periods of historical time or to basic features of the human condition, such as the role of violence in politics, whose specific expression or form can vary widely depending on the circumstances. This approach is often termed 'grand theory' or 'macro-theory' and some scholars believe that such an approach is both possible and desirable within the realm of international relations and security (see Waltz, 1979). At the other end of the scale one can construct far more narrow explanations, or 'micro-theories', that apply to a much smaller class of events or even to single events, such as a unique policy or decision. Obviously there is a trade-off here: grand theories may be very ambitious yet they may be nearly impossible to prove or test empirically, or so abstract that they cannot explain most of the 'day to day' behaviours that

concern international security specialists without a major refinement or relaxation of certain assumptions. Conversely, micro-level theories might be so unique to one case that it becomes difficult to generalize across time and space in hopes of making better use of the findings. This debate will become much more prominent as we move from the more traditional topics of international security affairs, mainly involving war, to less traditional ones.

To deal with these problems, this volume attempts to find some common ground between these contending positions regarding grand versus micro-level theories. This generally involves paying attention to ‘middle-range’ theories to explain more specific, though still quite generalizable, questions, such as: Is one geographic region or type of governing regime more prone to war than others? What factors increase the likelihood of foreign military intervention in a civil war? What accounts for the defeat or disappearance of some terrorist groups but not others? And so on. Then, as one builds up knowledge within these middle-range areas, it might be possible – and more persuasive – to build grand theory from the bottom up, as it were, with solid concepts and empirical evidence about causal relationships among various factors, rather than from the top down. This approach avoids the pretensions of grand theory by adopting a more modest scope of analysis, such as a shorter historical period, as with the dynamics of the Cold War, or a smaller class of phenomena, such as security relations among democratic states, but one still wide enough to draw meaningful generalizations across a range of cases or events as compared to more micro-level theories. Much of the research cited throughout this volume involves precisely this compromise between grand international relations theories and more micro-level theories of single foreign policy decisions or policies.

Evolution of the international security research agenda

The need for a general analytical framework to understand, and teach, contemporary international security affairs can be seen in the evolution of the research agenda over the past two decades. Although this volume takes for granted the ideas that international security is not a ‘self-evident’ end in itself that must be achieved at any cost, and that it can be contested like any other social goal or political problem, this was not always the case. We should remember that the field, particularly in the US (Smith, 2002), largely developed in response to the dynamics of the Cold War, which included the assimilation of the ‘lessons’ of the interwar period. During the Cold War era, scholars and policy-makers focused on a highly unique and competitive bilateral relationship where the possession of strategic nuclear weapons, and the possibility of total global destruction, created a

permanent shadow of fear unlike that experienced in any other adversarial relationship in history. However, the fact that there has been no such thing as a global nuclear war, and that the superpowers ended their conflict without resorting to a 'hot' war, meant that analysts had a very limited amount of information on which to base their predictions and recommendations. In addition, the novelty of a bilateral *nuclear* strategic competition would almost certainly raise questions about how lessons from the management of that relationship – assuming it did not end in mutual destruction – really could apply to other contemporary problems of international security.

This highly unique Cold War combination – an unprecedented type of deadly strategic rivalry coupled with an absence of empirical data about how to conduct such a relationship – resulted in an overwhelming reliance on the assumption of unitary and rational state (that is, government) action, where both superpowers attempted to avoid the same two least desired outcomes: global thermonuclear war (that is, mutual assured destruction, or MAD), and defeat or submission at the hands of the other party. In hopes of avoiding these extremes, analysts devised a range of hypothetical strategic scenarios or 'games' to help guide national policies on weapons development and deployment, alliance creation and maintenance, military intervention (Walt, 1991), and even economic policy, such as the use of trade or financial embargoes (Mastanduno, 1993), in the absence of actual knowledge, historical or otherwise, about how a bilateral strategic nuclear competition actually might end (Oye, 1986).

As we shall see in Chapter 2, the legacy of the Cold War analytical agenda still influences international security studies and provides much of the background scholarly material for the more traditional problems covered in this volume. This legacy, however, raises two critical problems. First, many of the theoretical arguments about the Cold War rivalry, particularly the question of how states might conduct an actual nuclear war (Kahn, 1960), simply could not be supported by empirical evidence as no one has ever fought a nuclear war. Second, any supposed lessons generated by the Cold War may not be applicable to the kinds of security threats, and the range of possible responses, now confronting decision-makers. This problem is compounded by our limited knowledge about how actors – states and otherwise – are likely to manage non-traditional security threats. The result is that some researchers might be tempted to conclude that each contemporary international security problem must be treated on its own merits, as self-contained analytical puzzles, as it were, and that the search for underlying processes or principles that transcend individual security problems is futile. Such an attitude, of course, may also contribute to the overall fragmentation and disorganization of the discipline, yet if the security agenda itself is increasingly fragmented and disorganized, so that virtually any topic is 'fair game' as a security concern, then we can hardly expect academic researchers to do much better.

The problem of finding a consensus about fundamental principles in researching international security is even more complicated in light of the overwhelming focus in the discipline on *Western* values, security problems, and analytical tools. To the extent that the roots of contemporary international security studies, if not international relations in general, are found in Cold War policy debates, American scholars in particular helped to set the agenda for the field (Hoffman, 1977; Wæver, 1998), although various European schools of thought increasingly challenged that dominance even before the end of the Cold War. As we shall see in the next chapter, the emergence and dominance of the realist school of thinking about international security is difficult to disentangle from the politics of the Cold War, although most realist scholars insist that its insights are based on deeper historical ‘patterns’ in world politics.

Thus it is no surprise that studies of nuclear policies, crisis decision-making, and alliance politics tended to dominate the international security research agenda for much of the Cold War period. Why concern yourself with the emergence of a new infectious disease in sub-Saharan Africa that fatally depresses the immune system when the superpowers had tens of thousands of nuclear warheads on constant alert? Why devote attention to the nuisance of organized crime when America and its allies apparently faced communist-supported armies and insurgencies in a range of hotspots around the globe? And why study global climate change as a potential threat to international security when actual US/Soviet intervention in less developed countries (LDCs) threatened to disrupt important sources of raw materials and change the overall global balance of power? This tendency is still reflected in some scholarly works and academic journals, which treat the subjects of ‘US national security policy’ and ‘international security’ as virtually synonymous. If we are indeed still living in a ‘unipolar moment’ (Krauthammer, 1990/1; Mastanduno, 1997) where America’s wealth and military power put it in a class by itself as a great power, then the US is better positioned than other actors in its ability to set the international security agenda. On some topics, then, it is tempting to conclude that ‘international security’ is largely what the US says it is. However, although this volume stresses the political analysis of international security affairs, and pays special attention to the role of leading states such as the US, it does not fall into the trap of prioritizing American views on all security issues for several reasons.

First, even during the Cold War a number of scholars were attempting to broaden the agenda of policy issues and theoretical concepts in international security well beyond the realist focus on strategic superpower military confrontation. Second, it became clear to many scholars that US influence could vary widely across topics, and that the chief measure of US political power – military force, or ‘hard power’ – can either be stretched too thin or be completely ineffective for certain types of security problems.

The possibility that America is becoming overstretched as the dominant power, or may even be in terminal decline, while other powers, such as China, assert themselves, also calls into question the idea that international security is largely synonymous with America's interests (Kupchan, 1998). Third, even with its military might, other actors are catching up to America in terms of various forms of 'soft power' (Nye, 2005) – finance, trade, reputation, values, and so on – that may be very useful for addressing many contemporary security problems. These actors will increasingly assert their interests and power at the international level. Fourth, to the extent that America desires some degree of international support for its policies, it must attempt to find allies and build stable coalitions, activities that allow other actors – chiefly states and IOs – to play key roles. Fifth and perhaps most interestingly, the US is most certainly not a monolithic actor. No respectable study of US policy and policy-making would deny that multiple actors attempt to speak for the US, even in the realm of foreign/security policy, and not always with a single voice; or that US policies are often contradictory and may even work at cross purposes; or that the US policy process in security affairs is just as politicized as in other policy domains, so that numerous actors within the US must compete to set the agenda and control key resources. These political processes, which are at work in varying ways in all states (especially democratic ones), can seriously undermine national leadership, and the broader assumption of unitary rational state action, over international security affairs (Hill 2003).

To summarize, the overall tone of this volume is sceptical, and the overall analytical approach is political. It is sceptical because I take very little for granted in analyzing contemporary international security problems, and I attempt to treat them with a common analytical framework that interprets all international security threats according to the same standards. It is political because the process of determining what is protected from what types of harm is inherently power-oriented. No political actor has the capacity to manage all security problems equally effectively all of the time, so we must understand the political process of choice in the face of competing values and priorities. Power is always required to collectively define valued things and then to marshal the resources needed to protect those valued things, even when the need to do so seems abundantly self-evident to a political community – which is rarely the case. As we shall see in the next chapter, most alternative approaches to international security are in fact power-oriented; they merely adopt a different view of power and assume different referent objects or values as compared to more traditional theories.

Similar attempts to frame international security problems through the normative lenses of human rights or justice or equity also tend to reinforce Western, and even transatlantic or European, values rather than universal values about what all people should value across space and time. This

conceit among scholars and policy-makers about 'fundamental' values and the actual international community to be protected from harm will become increasingly apparent as we traverse the range of contemporary security problems covered in this volume, and will be examined in more detail in the conclusion. Moreover, actors in any culture will sacrifice freedom or justice or equity for all kinds of other values, and not just security of life and property: these include religion, social unity or stability, fairness, status, and others. In fact, international security can be defined as much by a clash of values rather than a harmony of them, so we need to consider how international power is marshalled to put one set of values ahead of another, which requires some form of political analysis. Before attempting such an analysis, however, we need to understand the more general evolution and current context of the field of international security as an academic discipline and as a set of policy objectives. This task is taken up in the next chapter.

Index

- Abdullah, Haji 202
Abkhazia 118
abortion 162, 177, 290, 295, 301
abstinence 272, 295
 ‘ABC approach’ to AIDS prevention 272, 276
Abu Sayyaf (Philippines) 175
Abyssinia *see* Ethiopia
acquired immune deficiency syndrome (AIDS) 13,
 258–65, 268–79, 297
accountability *see* oversight
Action Group for Peace and Justice in Rwanda 290
addio pizzo movement (Italy) 194
aerospace industry 134
Afghanistan 167, 175, 181, 252, 299–300
 and crime 183, 185, 187–9, 196, 202–3
 Soviet invasion of 25–26, 89, 141
 Soviet withdrawal from 33
 US war on (2001) 34, 69, 87, 91–4, 119–20,
 138, 148, 151, 154, 161, 313–14, 318
Africa 26, 106, 119, 145, 175, 182, 233, 235, 242,
 255, 268, 274, 282–3, 285–6, 298, 316
 South West (now Namibia) 115
 sub-Saharan 13, 71, 73, 85, 101, 108, 115, 122,
 247, 259, 261–2, 272, 297, 318
African National Congress (ANC, South Africa)
 175
African Union (AU) 118–19, 123–4, 172
 see also Organization for African Unity (OAU)
aggression, military *see* militarism
Agreement on Sanitary and Phytosanitary Measures
 (SPS) 264
aid 275
 diplomatic 115
 financial/foreign/development/economic 27, 64,
 86, 92, 104, 115–16, 168–9, 197, 222, 242,
 264, 270, 295–6, 298, 300, 302
 humanitarian 106, 110, 114, 257, 259, 263,
 265, 290, 292–4, 300, 318
 military/technical 64, 116, 195, 242, 295
Aids Coalition to Release Power (ACT-Up) 268
aircraft/airlines/airports/aviation industry 167, 171,
 176, 188, 252, 273
Alaska 130
Albania 36, 117, 131
alcohol 272
Algeria 104, 162, 175, 177, 241
Alliance for the Future of Austria 286
Alliance of Small Island States 240
alliances/allies 7, 12, 23, 26, 40, 51, 64, 313
 and crime 182, 185, 188, 195, 201
 and economic security 215
 and interstate war 75, 82, 85, 87–89, 91–93, 95
 and intrastate war 108, 117
 and terrorism 151, 165, 171
 and weapons proliferation 134, 138–9, 148
 see also specific alliances
al-Megrahi, Abdelbaset 171
al-Qaeda/al-Qa’ida 130, 151, 161–2, 166, 173,
 175, 178
amantadine *see* antibiotics/antivirals
Americas Counter-Smuggling Initiative (US) 198
amnesty 289
Amnesty International 59, 290
anarchy 19, 22, 24, 32, 43, 51, 75, 78, 106, 138
Andean Regional Initiative 199
Andropov, Yuri 34
Anglo-Iranian Oil Co. 242
Angola 25, 89, 110
animals 261, 269, 273
Antarctica 142, 147
 Antarctic Treaty (1959) 142
antenatal care 256
antibiotics/antivirals 260, 262, 264, 266, 270, 274,
 277–9
 see also medicines
anthrax 128–9, 144–5
 attacks in US (2001) 128, 145, 170, 266
Anti-Ballistic Missile (ABM) Treaty 1972 142, 144
appeasement 18, 82–3, 94
Arab League 153, 172
arbitration 86, 112–3
Argentina 146, 148, 203, 212
armed forces *see* military forces
Armed Islamic Group (GIA, Algeria) 175
Armenia 89, 103
arms/armaments *see* weapons
Arthur Andersen accounting firm 227
Aryan Resistance Army 175
Asia 2, 34, 101, 115, 122, 183, 206, 221, 232, 262,
 273, 283, 285, 297, 316
 ‘Asian tigers’ 216, 219, 302
Asperger’s syndrome 201
assassination 152–3, 195
Association of Police Authorities (UK) 200
Association of Southeast Asian Nations (ASEAN)
 194–5
Astroturfing 239
asylum/asylum-seekers 280–304
atomic bombs *see* nuclear weapons
attrition, strategy of 163
Aum Shinrikyo (Supreme Truth, Japan) 128
Australia 23, 36, 89, 118, 184, 240–1, 248–9, 299
Australia–New Zealand–US Treaty (ANZUS) 23,
 89
Austria 35–6, 90, 92, 249, 253, 274, 286
Austro-Hungarian empire 157
autarky 20
authoritarianism 21, 36, 82, 103, 109, 120, 124,
 126, 161, 287, 304
autism 201
automobile industry/automobiles 155, 181, 227,
 235, 239, 252
Azerbaijan 189

baby boom/baby boomers 283–4
Bahamas 196–197, 202
balance of payments 212–13, 218–22
balance of power theory 22, 32–4, 87–8, 91, 93,
 315
balance of threat theory 76–7
Balfour Declaration (1917) 178
ballistic missile defence *see* national missile
 defences
Bali 176

- Balkans *see* Bosnia, Kosovo, Serbia/Serbs, Slovenia, Yugoslavia
- 'balloon effect' 203
- bandwagoning 24, 87–8
- Bangladesh 188, 299, 301
- banking/banks 7, 182, 186, 189–90, 192–3, 197–8, 201, 210–29, 309–10
- Bank for International Settlements (BIS) 197, 214, 223
- Bank of New York 193
- Barak, Ehud 314
- 'bare branches' 301
- bargains/bargaining 65, 81, 86, 106, 109, 114, 124, 140, 161, 163–4, 168, 170–2, 174, 315
- Basel 223
- Basque Homeland and Freedom (ETA, Spain) 175
- 'Battle in Seattle' protests (1999) 208
- Battle of Britain (1940) 82
- Belarus 89, 142, 148
- Belgium 35–6, 79, 249, 286
- Belgrade 117
- see also* Serbia, Yugoslavia
- benefits, health/pension 283–4
- bilateral action/bilateralism 11, 54, 62, 73, 139–50, 164, 195–6
- bin Laden, Osama 69, 175
- biological systems/biology 22, 231, 257, 278, 289
- Biological Weapons Convention (1972; BWC) 141–4
- bioterrorism *see* terrorism
- Biowatch system 268
- bipolarity 22, 24–5, 75, 310
- Black Death (1346–59) 258, 262, 273
- Black Market Peso Exchange 192
- Blackwater 84
- Blair, Tony 170, 178
- Blinding Laser Weapons Protocol (BLWP) 1995 143, 148
- Boer War (1899–1902) 19
- Bolivia 89, 184, 196, 203, 205
- bombing 21, 82, 117, 128, 138–139, 152, 168, 171–2, 236, 297
- Bonn global warming summit 246
- borders/border controls/border disputes 4, 50, 54, 73, 101, 116, 122, 152, 204, 217, 231, 279, 288–90, 292, 314
- Bosnia-Herzegovina 89, 104, 118–19, 122–3, 288, 300
- see also* Yugoslavia
- Botswana 275–6
- boycotts 152, 242
- brain drain 292
- Brazil 34, 40, 129, 146, 148, 196, 203, 220, 274, 276, 299
- see also* BRIC bloc
- Brazil, Russia, India, China (BRIC bloc) 34, 204, 206, 215–16, 221, 228, 315
- breast-feeding 295
- Bretton Woods system 215
- Brezhnev, Leonid 34
- Britain *see* United Kingdom
- British National Party 286
- British Petroleum (BP) 241
- Brown, Gordon 223
- brucellosis 128
- Brunei 188
- Bucharest 294
- buck-passing 51, 92, 140
- Buddhism 299
- Bulgaria 35–6, 286
- bureaucratic politics *see* domestic politics
- Burma 196, 232, 299
- see also* Myanmar
- Burundi 89, 118–19
- Bush, George H.W. 108
- Bush, George W. 57, 69, 83, 143–4, 157, 165, 176–7, 196, 227, 272, 298, 300
- Bush doctrine 90
- Business Alliance for Secure Commerce (US) 198
- Business Anti-Smuggling Coalition (US) 198
- business cycles 208–10, 225
- Cairo 178, 284
- California 187, 241
- Cambodia 103, 197
- Cameroon 89
- Campaign for Global Road Safety 317
- Campaign for Nuclear Disarmament 133
- Canada 36, 140, 184, 190, 193, 237, 240, 249, 253, 277, 297–9
- as G-7/G-8 member 58
- cancer 132, 233
- cannibis 183–4, 187, 196
- Cape of Good Hope 191
- capital/capitalism/capitalist world/capital mobility 20, 32, 38, 93, 96, 116, 208–9, 215–29, 284, 289, 316
- capital flight *see* disinvestment
- capital punishment *see* death penalty
- carbon/carbon dioxide (CO₂) 233, 241, 244–6
- carbon offsetting 244, 254
- see also* greenhouse gases
- CARE 110, 290
- Caribbean 283, 297
- Carrier/Container Initiative (US) 198
- cartels 20, 237, 249
- see also* Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC)
- Catalonia 296
- Caudillos 180
- Central African Republic 89, 91, 118–19
- Central America 26, 89, 107, 115, 220
- Central Asia 145
- Central Intelligence Agency (CIA, US) 144, 157, 173, 175, 178, 200, 314
- Chad 89, 118–19, 286
- chain-gangging 92
- Chan, Margaret 260
- charities 271
- 'cheap talk' 245, 318
- Chechnya/Chechen people 37, 99, 161
- chemical industry 134, 239–40
- Chemical and Bacteriological Weapons Convention (1925 Geneva Protocol) 140–1
- Chemical Weapons Convention (1993; CWC) 131, 141–4
- Cheney, Dick 176, 201
- Chernenko, Konstantin 34
- Chernobyl 132
- Chevron Co. 241
- chicken game *see* game theory
- Child Exploitation and Online Protection Centre (CEOP)(UK) 193, 200
- children 98, 132, 181, 198, 200–1, 235, 257, 271, 277–8, 282–3, 291–2, 294–5, 298, 301, 318
- child soldiers 100, 288
- China, People's Republic of 33, 313
- and crime 182–3, 188, 191, 198–9
- and economic security 213, 221, 225–6, 228
- and environmental/resource security 235, 237, 240, 242, 247–8, 254
- and interstate war 76, 89–91, 93, 96

- and intrastate war 111, 116–17
 and population trends 284, 286, 292, 299, 301, 304
 and public health 256, 261–3, 272–3
 and terrorism 161
 and weapons proliferation 128, 131, 139, 143, 146–50
 as G-2/G-3 member 58
 as P-5 member 58, 90–1, 143
 ‘conengagement’ of 83
 economic reforms of 37
 rising power of 14, 34, 77, 315
 nuclear forces 24
 one child policy 292, 301
 Sino–Soviet split 26
see also BRIC bloc
- chlorine 126, 128
 chlorofluorocarbons (CFCs) 236, 239–40, 243, 246, 251–2
- cholera 128, 260
- Christianity/Christians 28, 271
- churches 271
- cities 284
 mega-cities 284, 301
see also urbanization
- citizens/citizenship 3, 54, 58, 79, 84, 86, 109–10, 155, 159, 162, 164, 194, 201, 236, 250, 278, 283–285, 288, 291–4, 296–8, 302–4, 307, 313–14
- civil defence 24, 198, 203, 315
- civil disobedience 152
- civilians 21, 69, 72, 84–8, 92, 98, 100, 107, 110, 117–8, 132–3, 136, 138, 143, 153–4, 158, 163, 168, 174, 178, 188–9, 199, 259, 315, 318
- Clarke, Richard 157
- clash of civilizations 37, 54
- classes, socio-economic 20, 31, 159, 206, 231
- Clean Development Mechanism (Kyoto Protocol) 248
- climate change *see* global warming
- Clinton, Bill 108, 143, 192, 216, 221, 246, 268, 298
- coal *see* fossil fuels
- coalitions 65, 96, 117–18, 139, 195, 231, 239, 290, 312, 315
- coca/cocaine 183, 185, 196, 199, 203–5
 ‘cocaine tourism’ 203
- cohesion, social 15, 68
- Codex Alimentarius Agreement 264
- Cold War 155, 215–16, 219, 285, 287, 303, 306, 315
 and international relations/security 1–2, 8, 11–13, 16–17, 43, 310, 313
 and weapons proliferation 125, 129, 137, 139–45, 149
 end of 27–8, 32–3, 40, 95–6, 98, 101, 106, 111, 117–18, 122, 175
 conduct of 21–7, 81, 83, 84, 87, 89–91, 93, 115–16, 123, 160, 165
- collective action problems 49–53, 65, 311
- collective security 17–18, 28, 87–9, 91
see also alliances
- Collective Security Treaty Organization (CSTO) 89
- Colombia 102, 104, 148, 162, 164, 175, 184–5, 188, 196–205
- colonialism/neo-colonialism 20, 71, 84, 106, 111, 117, 257
see also decolonization, imperialism
- combatants 72, 84, 86–7, 100, 132, 138, 153–4, 170
- Commonwealth of Independent States 35, 118, 172
see also Russia
- communications 41, 85, 170, 183, 193, 198, 218, 306, 309
- communism/communist world 13, 20, 22, 36, 60, 90, 93, 115–16, 165, 215, 219, 226, 287, 300
Communist Manifesto (1838) 19
- communities, epistemic 28, 133, 244, 263, 272, 278, 289, 311–12
see also science
- competitiveness 207, 226
- Comprehensive Test Ban Treaty (CTBT) 141–2, 144, 148
 CTBT Organization 141
- Compton effect *see* electromagnetic pulses
- computers/computer networks *see* cyber-space, internet
- Confindustria 194
- Conference on Security and Cooperation in Europe (CSCE) 26
- Conflict and Peace Databank 23, 71
- ‘conengagement’ 83
- conquest 79
- constructivism 27, 29–30, 32, 36, 48–9, 52, 139, 306, 311
- consumers/consumption 226–7, 231, 234, 236, 239, 241, 250, 255, 281, 314
- ‘contagion effect’ 220
- containment 23, 260–2, 264–5, 270–3, 278, 304, 318
- contraception 270–2, 290, 295
- control orders (UK) 170, 314
- Convention on the Prohibition of the Use, Stockpiling, Production or Transfer of Anti-Personnel Mines and Their Destruction *see* Ottawa Treaty
- Conventional Forces in Europe (CFE) Treaty 136, 142–4, 147
- Cook Islands 197
- cooperation *see* collective action
- Copenhagen global warming conference (2009) 248
- Copenhagen School of security studies 47
- core–periphery theory 20
- Correlates of War database/project 23, 71, 99
- corruption 37, 180–2, 185–6, 188, 192–3, 198, 275, 279
- Côte d’Ivoire 118–19
- Council for Peace and Security in Central Africa 89
- Council of Europe 172
- Counter-Drug Technology Assessment Centre (US) 200
- counterfactual reasoning 67
- counterfeiting/counterfeit goods 180, 186
- coups d’état* 98, 105, 107
- Coventry 128
- covert action 61
- credibility 62–3, 69, 123–4
- credit/credit crises/credit reporting 197–8, 206, 210, 214, 218, 223–4, 309–10, 318
- crime/criminals 31, 40, 42, 45, 55, 84, 106–8, 110, 124, 129, 152–3, 158, 174–5, 178–204, 211, 217–18, 220, 242, 259, 281, 287, 289, 294, 302, 309, 311
- crimes against humanity 91
- crime of aggression 91
 organized 7, 13, 37, 41, 63, 66, 98, 101–2, 105, 116, 130, 164, 172, 278, 313
 war crimes 91, 314
- critical infrastructure protection 193, 218
- Critical National Infrastructure (UK) 198
- critical theory/security studies 27, 29–30, 32, 47–8, 52, 68, 308, 316

- currency *see* finance
 customs authorities/controls *see* borders
 Cuba 26, 167, 288
 Cuban missile crisis 26, 95
 Ciudad del Este 189
 Ciudad Juárez 189
 cult of the offensive 81
 currency/currency crises *see* money
 cyber-space 189, 311
 cyber-crime/espionage/security/terrorism/war/
 vandalism 180, 182, 191, 193, 198, 201
 Cyprus 35, 89, 116, 120
 Czech Republic 22–3, 35–6, 111
 Czechoslovakia 26, 89–90, 111
- Darfur, Sudan 91, 118–19, 123, 302
 data protection *see* privacy
 death penalty 188
 debt, public 212, 222, 318
 decolonization 99, 111, 219
 decriminalization 181, 184, 187, 203
 deduction/deductive research 9–10
 defence *see* military forces
 defence spending/trade *see* weapons
 deflation 222
 deforestation 233
 democracy/democratic transitions 27–28, 38, 70,
 78, 84, 90, 103, 107, 109, 120–2, 124, 126,
 134, 145, 169, 303–4, 308, 312
 democratic peace theory 28, 31, 78, 81–2
 Democratic People's Republic of Korea *see* North
 Korea
 Democratic Republic of the Congo (DRC) 89, 91,
 104, 118–19, 283, 300
 demographics/demography *see* population
 denial-of-service (DOS) attacks 182, 198
 Denmark 35–6, 194, 249, 299
 dependency theory 20
 deportation 293
 depressions *see* economic crises
 desertification 233, 237, 241
 detention/detention centres 293, 314
 deterrence 23, 81–3, 87–9, 92–3, 95, 134, 139, 297
 developing countries *see* less developed countries
 development 42, 173, 256, 259, 276, 291, 294,
 318–19
 Deutsch, Karl 28
 diamonds 110, 183, 197
 diarrhoea 247
 dictatorships *see* authoritarianism
 dignity 68
 dilemma of common aversion 60
 diplomacy/diplomatic measures 64, 86–7, 135, 179
 diplomatic immunity 73
 disasters, natural 89, 232, 259, 263, 287, 293
 disaster management *see* emergency response
 discrimination 86, 282
 diseases 39, 66–7, 98, 247, 256–79
 infectious/epidemic/pandemic 7, 13, 21, 28, 30,
 46, 53–5, 96, 116, 154–5, 211, 269, 274–5,
 281, 305, 311, 317–18
 see also specific diseases
 disinvestment 222
 diversionary theory of war 81–2
 doctors *see* physicians
 domestic factors/politics 4, 6, 14, 22, 27, 33, 36–7,
 39–40, 44–5, 48, 57, 60–1
 and crime 195–6, 200–5
 and interstate war 71, 73, 79, 81, 96–7
 and intrastate war 110
 and population trends 296
 and public health 264–5
 and weapons proliferation 133–5
- Dominica 197
 Dominican Republic 196
 'donor fatigue' 279
 Dresden 128
 drone warfare *see* weapons
 drugs 177, 180–206, 272
 see also medicines, *specific drugs*, trafficking
 dual-use problems/technology 133, 135–6, 146
 due process of law 169
 DuPont 240
 Dutch War of Louis XIV (1672–78) 74
 dyad/dyadic *see* bilateral
 dysentery 247, 260
- Earth Liberation Front 155
 Earth Summit (1992) 245
 East Asia 35, 183
 East Timor 118
 East–West conflicts 60, 240
 see also Cold War
 ecological security/ecology *see* environment
 Economic and Monetary Union of Central African
 States (CEMAC) 118–19
 Economic Community of West African States
 (ECOWAS) 118–19, 123
 economy/economics 106, 108, 112–13, 117, 125,
 134–6, 243, 259, 272, 278, 280, 283, 286,
 292–3, 303, 309
 crises/instability/security 43, 57, 157, 206–29,
 255, 275, 279, 281, 287, 305, 311–13, 316
 economic growth 76, 103
 policy/welfare 1, 12, 31, 33, 36, 39–41, 46, 48,
 50, 64, 66–7
 ecstasy 184
 Ecuador 196, 203, 241
 education 42, 259, 270, 288, 295, 297, 301, 304,
 317
 Egypt 26, 89, 92, 131, 148, 164, 188, 197, 199
 Eisenhower, Dwight D. 83
 El Paso 200
 El Salvador 25
 elections/electoral issues/electorates 60, 66, 82,
 114, 120, 177, 222, 255, 285–6
 electricity/electrical grids 182
 electromagnetic pulses 127–8
 Electronic Surveillance System for the Early
 Notification of Community-Based Epidemics
 (ESSENCE) 268–9
 embassies 155, 163
 emergency response/services 173, 198
 emigration *see* migration
 emissions trading 248
 energy
 crises/security 49, 198, 208–9, 230–55, 281,
 316
 firms/industry/suppliers 239
 nuclear 132, 234–5, 240, 252
 renewable (hydroelectric, geothermal, wind, solar)
 234, 238, 249, 252
 see also fossil fuels, resources
 England 267, 271
 English School 28
 Enron 201, 227
 environment/environmental security 40, 51, 64, 67,
 69, 73, 101, 116, 137, 153, 155, 185, 229–56,
 264, 281, 285, 309, 317
 Environmental Integrity Group 240
 epidemics *see* diseases
 epidemiologists 263

- epistemology 8–9
equality/equity 14–15, 20, 30, 68, 208, 226, 228, 316–17
Equatorial Guinea 89
erosion 233
Escobar, Pablo 199
espionage 127
Estonia 35–6, 104, 193
Ethiopia 90, 102, 106, 128
ethnic groups/ethnicity 31, 79–80, 106, 111, 113–14, 119, 158, 182, 303
 ethnic cleansing 85, 100, 113, 115, 288, 297, 304
 ethnic conflict/war 100–2, 106–7, 115, 121–2, 152, 206
 see also genocides
eugenics 304
EU NAVFOR *see* Operation Atalanta
Eurasia 85
euro/euro-zone 192, 216, 219, 224–5, 228
Europe/Europeans/Western Europe 2, 13–15, 21, 26–7, 34, 67, 312
 and crime 181–3, 187, 190, 194, 202
 and economic security 206–29
 and environmental/resource security 243–4
 and interstate war 85, 92, 95
 and intrastate war 106, 119
 and population trends 283, 285–7, 296, 300
 and public health 262, 264, 276–7
 and terrorism 157, 169
 and weapons proliferation 130, 139, 142–3
 Central/Eastern Europe 26–7, 33, 122, 183, 190, 253, 301
 see also European Union and *specific European states*
European Community *see* European Union
European Union (EU) 7, 28, 32, 36, 88, 93, 117, 313, 315, 318
 and crime 183, 188, 190, 194–6, 199, 201, 203–4
 and economic security 206–29
 and environmental/resource security 239–42, 247–55
 and globalization 39
 and peacekeeping 112, 118–9, 123
 and population trends 284, 298
 and public health 277
 and terrorism 153, 165, 171–2
 and weapons proliferation 136, 143, 147
 Area of Freedom, Security and Justice (AFSJ) 172, 194, 217
 as G-3/G-8 member 58
 Common Foreign and Security Policy 88
 enlargement 122, 301
 European Atomic Energy Community (Euratom) 136
 European Commission 223
 European Food Safety Authority (EFSA) 264
 European Medicines Agency (EMA) 264
 European Neighbourhood Policy (ENP) 88, 194
 European Parliament 286
 European Reform Treaty *see* Treaty of Lisbon
 European Security and Defence Policy (ESDP) 88, 92
 European Security Strategy 217, 245
 European Systemic Risk Board 228
 member states 35
Europol 172, 194, 204
exchange rates/exchange rate regime 219–20, 225
 see also money
Executive Outcomes 84
expected utility theory 80–1, 139
externalities 64, 68–70, 188, 245, 312
extradition 164, 169, 190, 194, 197, 201
 see also mutual legal assistance treaties
extraterritoriality 61, 73
Exxon-Mobil Co. 241
fairness *see* equality
Fallujah, Battle of (2004) 84
family planning *see* contraception
famine 98, 298, 317
fascism 18
Falkland Islands War (1982) 82
farmers/farms 186, 261
Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI, US) 45, 173, 200
federalism 190, 266
feminism/feminist theory 27, 29–33, 317
fertility/fertility rates 282, 288, 292, 295, 304
 replacement fertility rates 282, 291
finances 12, 38–9, 43, 50, 170, 193, 206–29, 309
 see also banking, money
Financial Action Task Force (FATF) 197, 202
Financial Stability Board (FSB) 223–5, 228
Financial Stability Forum 223
Finland 35–6, 104, 249, 253, 299
firms 7, 45, 48, 58, 63, 83, 110, 125, 133–6, 143, 145, 155, 157–8, 163–4, 180, 182–3, 189–91, 194, 198, 201, 207, 212, 214, 222, 226–7, 237, 239, 243, 250, 255, 271, 274, 293, 303, 313–14
 see also multinational corporations, non-state actors
Flemish Interest 286
Florida 188
flu *see* influenza
food/food security 132, 234, 256–7, 281, 316, 318
foreign aid *see* aid
foreign direct investment (FDI) *see* investment
foreign exchange *see* money
‘foreign narcotics kingpins’ list (US) 196
Foreign Terrorist Organizations list (US) 162, 165–7
 see also *specific terrorist organizations*
Fort Bliss 199
Fortune magazine 227
fossil fuels 20, 27, 56, 69, 80, 110, 161, 171, 178, 230–55
France 35–6
 and crime 190, 201
 and economic security 223
 and environmental/resource security 235, 240, 249
 and Gulf War (1991) 92
 and interstate war 76
 and intrastate war 108, 118
 and population trends 286, 289, 298–9
 and public health 274
 and terrorism 177
 and weapons proliferation 131, 140, 143
 appeasement strategy 18
 as G-7/G-8 member 58
 as P-5 member 58, 90
 nuclear forces 24
Franco, General Francisco 21
Frankfurt School 30
fraud 180, 218
freedom 15, 30, 32, 37, 68, 107
Freedom Party (Austria) 286
free-riding 51, 91–2, 123, 140, 254, 312

- free trade areas 183, 204
see also European Union, North American Free Trade Agreement
- French Revolutionary/Napoleonic Wars (1792–1815) 74
- Front de Libération Nationale (FLN) *see* National Liberation Front
- Gabon 89, 241
- gambling 180
- games/game theory 12, 25–6, 38, 310
- gamma rays 132
- gas, natural *see* fossil fuels
- Gay Men's Health Crisis 268
- Gaza 99, 168, 314
- Gazprom 241
- gender 29–33, 272, 284, 297, 316
see also feminist theory, women
- General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade (GATT) 215, 218
see also World Trade Organization
- generations/generational conflicts 55, 77, 156, 174, 179, 225, 259, 284
- Geneva Conventions (1949) 88, 91, 148
- Geneva Protocol (1925) *see* Chemical and Bacteriological Weapons Convention
- Geneva Summit (1955) 140
- genital mutilation, female (female circumcision) 298
- genocides 85, 91, 98, 100–2, 113, 115, 124, 288, 297, 317
see also ethnic groups
- Georgia 37, 99, 103, 118
- Germany, Federal Republic of 35–6, 119, 134
 and crime 190, 201
 and economic security 223, 226
 and environmental/resource security 249, 253
 and Gulf War (1991) 92
 and interstate war 76, 93
 and population trends 286, 292, 298–9, 304
 and public health 274
 and Spanish Civil War 21
 and weapons proliferation 140, 143
 as G-7/G-8 member 58
 empire 115
 Green Card programme 298
 military aggression of 18, 76, 90, 128
 occupation of 87, 122
 wars of unification 18
see also West Germany
- GlaxoSmithKline 274
- Gleneagles 220
- Global Alert and Response (GAR) system 269
- global warming 13, 51, 54–6, 96, 155, 230–55, 263, 278, 281–3, 305, 312–3, 316
- global zero option 147
- globalization 16, 38–41, 43–4, 50, 85, 94, 129, 139, 152, 156, 180, 183, 204, 206, 208, 218–19, 222–3, 229, 231, 257–8, 260, 282, 303, 306, 309, 313–14, 316
- Golan Heights 116
- gold/gold monetary standard 208, 219–21
- Good Friday Agreement (1998) 170, 178
- Google 269
- Gorbachev, Mikhail 32–4, 37
- governments 3, 5, 8, 12, 40, 55, 58, 63, 65–6, 309, 313–14, 319
 and crime 181–2, 193, 198
 and economic security 224, 227–8
 and environmental/resource security 244, 246
 and interstate war 71, 78–9, 84, 93, 96–7
 and intrastate war 98–9, 101, 103, 106–7, 109, 111–16, 120–3
 and population trends 285–7, 300, 302
 and public health 256–7, 259, 263, 266, 272, 278–9
 and terrorism 152–3, 157, 160, 162–3, 171, 176
 and weapons proliferation 133
- Great Depression (1930s) 18–19, 21, 211, 218
- Greece 23, 35–6, 167, 249, 253, 289
- greenhouse effect/gases (GHGs) 233, 236, 239, 243, 246–8, 251–5
- Greenpeace 59
- Greenspan, Alan 210
- Grenada 106, 197
- gross domestic product (GDP) 75
- Grotius, Hugo 17
- Group of 2 (G-2) 58
- Group of 3 (G-3) 58
- Group of 7 (G-7) 58, 197, 212, 214, 228
- Group of 77 (G-77) 212, 239–40
- Group of 8 (G-8) 58, 171, 192, 197, 212, 228, 318
 Live 8 pledges/summit 220, 224, 318
- Group of 20 (G-20) 212–14, 223–5, 228, 315
 London G-20 summit (2009) 212, 223–5, 228
- Guatemala 196–7
 Guatemalan Labour Party 175
- Guinea-Bissau 103
- Gulf Oil 241
- Gulf War (1991) 34, 89, 92, 300
- Guzmán, Abimael 175
- hackers/hacking 182, 198, 201, 209
- Hague Conventions (1899/1907) 18, 90, 126, 128, 138, 140
- Hague global warming conference (2001) 248
- Haiti 89, 104, 118, 196, 296, 298
- Halliburton 201
- Hamas (Gaza) 99, 168
- Hamburg 128
- harm-reduction *see* decriminalization
- Hawala system 192
- Hayden, Michael 175
- Health Maintenance Organizations (HMOs) 266
- health, public 37, 40, 42, 53, 143, 170, 173, 181, 185, 202–3, 229, 238, 243, 245, 256–79, 283–4, 287–9, 309–11, 316, 317
- Heavily Indebted Poor Countries (HIPCs) 220, 224, 318
- hedge funds 223–4
- hegemon/hegemony 24, 28, 34, 65, 76, 80, 93, 191–2, 195, 207, 215, 228, 243, 311, 313
- Helsinki Declaration (1989) 247
- hepatitis 260
- heroin 183, 185, 199
- Hezbollah (Lebanon) 168
- hijacking 153, 171–2, 315
- Hiroshima 127, 130
- Hitler, Adolf 92
- Hobson, John 19
- Holbrooke, Richard 203
- Holocaust 128, 314
see also genocide
- homeland security 6
see also domestic politics
- homosexuality 268
- Honduras 105, 107
- Hong Kong 216
- Hoover, Herbert 19
- Horn of Africa 198
- hostages/hostage-taking *see* kidnapping

- human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) *see* acquired immune deficiency syndrome
- Human Rights Watch
- humans/human security agenda 16, 30, 38, 41–3, 56, 113, 179–81, 207–8, 211, 229–32, 238, 239, 243, 256, 259, 280, 307, 312, 316–19
- Hungary 23, 26, 35–6, 89, 92, 122, 196–7, 249
- hunger 208
- Hurricane Katrina 232, 315
- Hussein, Saddam 243
- Hutu 106
- hydration 278
- hydrogen bombs *see* nuclear weapons
- hydrogen cyanide 128
- hygiene 257, 278
see also sanitation
- Iceland 36, 226, 240, 248
- identity 30–1, 106–7, 114, 116, 119, 121, 158, 206, 285–7, 296, 303, 317
identity theft *see* fraud
- ideology 100, 107, 115–16, 121, 153, 158–9, 220, 227
- immigration *see* migration
- immune systems/immunity 233
- imperialism 19, 71, 79, 99, 157, 257, 272
Imperialism (1902) 19
Imperialism: The Highest Stage of Capitalism (1916) 19
- India 26, 34
and crime 188, 196, 199
and environmental/resource security 237, 240, 247–8, 254
and interstate war 73, 76, 86, 91, 94, 96
and intrastate war 111, 119
and population trends 292, 299–301
and public health 256, 262
and terrorism 175
and weapons proliferation 131, 146–50
see also BRIC bloc
- Indonesia 148, 161, 175, 188, 197, 212, 222, 241, 273, 275, 299, 301
- induction/inductive research 9–10
- industry *see* weapons, firms, multinational corporations, private military contractors
- Industry Partnership Programmes (US) 198
- infanticide 295, 301
- infection 260
- inflation 225
- influenza 46, 261–2, 268–9, 278–9, 305
Asian (1957–58) 258, 271, 273
avian (H5N1) 258–9, 261–2, 273–4
Hong Kong (1968–70) 258
Spanish (1917–18) 21, 258, 260–1, 273
swine (H1N1) 259, 261–2, 273, 277–9, 311
- information technology (IT) 182, 314
see also cyber-space
- infrastructure/infrastructural security 63
see also communications, internet, transportation, energy security
- insurance firms/industry 191, 218, 266, 309
- insurgency/insurgents 13, 41, 80, 90–9, 101–2, 108, 152, 154, 160, 174–5, 178, 188, 311
see also terrorism
- intelligence/intelligence services 5, 56–8, 62, 157, 163, 170, 173, 200, 261
see also specific intelligence agencies
- interdependence *see* globalization
- interest groups *see* non-state actors
- interest rates 222
- interests 4, 49, 52–3, 59–60
and crime 186–91
and economic security 215–18
and environmental/resource security 240–4
and interstate war 77–84
and intrastate war 105–10, 120
and population trends 290–4
and public health 264–7
and terrorism 160–3
and weapons proliferation 134–6
- intercontinental ballistic missiles (ICBMs) 127, 130–1, 142
- interdiction 195
- Intermediate Range Nuclear Forces (INF) Treaty (1987) 142, 144, 147
- internally displaced persons (IDPs) 280–4
- International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) 141–8, 171
- International Bank for Reconstruction and Development *see* World Bank
- International Campaign to Ban Landmines 59, 133
- International Civil Aviation Organization (ICAO) 171
- International Committee of the Red Cross (ICRC) 18, 45, 110
- International Council of Chemical Associations 239–40
- International Criminal Court (ICC) 28, 88, 91, 313
- International Criminal Police Organization (Interpol) 194
- International Energy Agency (IEA) 249, 252
- international financial institutions (IFIs) 212–14, 220, 224
see also banking, insurance
- international humanitarian law *see* Law of Armed Conflict
- International Maritime Bureau 190
- International Maritime Organization (IMO) 171
- International Monetary Fund (IMF) 197–8, 214–15, 218, 223–4, 227–8
- International Organization for Migration (IOM, UN) 194, 289–91
- international organizations (IOs) 7, 14, 43, 45, 58, 61, 63, 66, 69, 83, 85, 106, 108, 112, 118, 121, 124, 133, 135–6, 143, 153, 160, 167, 172, 195, 197, 210, 214, 228, 277, 291, 300, 303, 308, 312–13, 316
see also specific international organizations
- International Peace Bureau 18
- international regimes 28, 34–5, 50
see also international organizations
- internet 56, 182, 193, 197, 209, 277, 309
internet service providers (ISPs) 7, 189, 198, 309–10
- Interparliamentary Union 18
- Interpol *see* International Criminal Police Organization
- intervention 91, 97, 106, 109, 116–9
see also Responsibility to Protect
- intifada* 177
- investing/investment/investors 171, 222, 227, 314
foreign direct 38, 104, 272, 279
- Iran 23, 27, 89, 130–1, 146–50, 167, 188, 241–2, 286
- Iran–Iraq War (1980–88) 27, 72
- Iraq 27, 56–7, 76, 89, 120, 130, 139, 144–50, 167, 188, 241, 300
- Iraq War (1991) *see* Gulf War
- Iraq War (2003) 7, 34, 41, 56–7, 84, 87, 92–4, 135, 138, 139, 151, 154, 161, 166, 168, 175, 242–43, 245, 252, 300, 312–3

- Ireland, Republic of 35–6, 194, 249, 253
 Irgun (Israel) 175, 178
 Irish Republican Army (IRA) 108, 157, 162, 165, 170, 178
 Continuity IRA 177
 Provisional IRA 175, 177
 Real IRA 175, 177
 iron triangle *see* military-industrial complex
 Islam/Islamism/Muslims 27, 37, 41, 60, 100, 120, 148, 151, 156, 159, 161, 166–8, 178, 242, 286, 299–300, 316
 Israel 23, 27, 40, 314
 and crime 182, 198
 and interstate war 99
 and intrastate war 110
 and public health 274
 and terrorism 152–3, 157–8, 161, 166, 168–9, 178
 and weapons proliferation 129, 131, 135, 139, 146–50
 Israel–Palestine conflict 27, 34, 54, 110
 Italy 35, 314
 and crime 187, 190, 194
 and economic security 223
 and environmental/resource security 249, 253
 and interstate war 76
 and population trends 286, 289
 and public health 274
 and Spanish Civil War 21
 and weapons proliferation 140
 as G-7/G-8 member 58
 military aggression of 18, 90, 128
 Jamaica 196
 Japan 36, 129, 159, 248
 and crime 182–3, 199
 and economic security 211, 216, 224–5, 245, 249, 253
 and Gulf War (1991) 92
 and interstate war 76–7, 93
 and public health 274, 277
 and weapons proliferation 140, 147
 as G-7/G-8 member 58
 military aggression of 18, 76, 80, 90, 128
 occupation of 87, 122
 jihad 157
 Joint Implementation mechanism (Kyoto Protocol) 248
 Joint Task Force Six (JTF-6) 199
 Jordan 286
 journalism/journalists *see* media
 Jubilee 2000/Jubilee Debt Campaign 220, 224
 Judaism 178
 jurisdictions 61, 63–5
 and crime 179, 189–91, 193, 200
 and economic security 217–18
 and environmental/resource security 243
 and interstate war 83–4
 and intrastate war 109–10
 and population trends 293–4
 and public health 266–7
 and terrorism 163–4
 and weapons proliferation 135–6
 see also legal issues
jus ad bellum criteria 86
jus in bello criteria 86, 138
 justice 14–15, 30, 68, 84, 167, 190, 193–4, 208, 317, 319
 see also jurisdictions, legal issues
 just war theory 86, 93
 kamikaze 159
 Kashmir 161
 Kazakhstan 89, 103, 142, 148
 Kellogg–Briand Pact (1928) 18, 90
 Kenya 99, 286
 Khan, A.Q. 130
 Khmer Rouge (Cambodia) 175
 kidnapping 41, 61, 153, 157, 163–4, 168, 171, 177, 190–1, 199, 314
 King, Malcolm 200
 'know your customer' laws 198
 Korean War 89
 Kosovo 89, 92, 111, 116–19, 187, 318
 Kosovo Liberation Army 187
 Ku Klux Klan (US) 162, 164
 Kurdistan/Kurds 285, 300
 Kurdistan Workers' Party (PKK) 175
 Kuwait 89, 188, 241, 243
 Kyoto
 Kyoto global warming summit 246
 Kyoto Protocol 247–5
 Kyrgyzstan 89, 103
 labour 283, 285, 290, 293, 303, 316
 Lake Baikal 132
 Land Border Carrier Initiative (US) 198
 Laos 188, 196, 273
 Latvia 35–6, 249
 law enforcement *see* police
 Law of Armed Conflict (LOAC) 17–18, 70, 86–8, 90–1, 93, 96, 138, 143, 148–9, 313–14
 lawsuits *see* legal issues
 Lay, Kenneth 227
 League of Arab States *see* Arab League
 League of Nations 17–21, 90, 115
 Lebanon 89, 116, 168, 198, 300
 Lebed, Aleksander 130
 legal issues/processes/remedies 8, 17, 31, 72–3, 84, 86–7, 138, 164, 169–70, 178–9, 187–91, 200–1, 243, 271, 293, 308, 313–14
 see also jurisdiction, justice
 legislators/legislatures 59, 103, 133–4
 Lehi *see* Stern Gang
 Lenin, Vladimir 19
 less developed countries (LDCs) 13, 21, 37, 39, 41, 313, 315, 318–19
 and crime 179, 182–3, 185, 187, 193, 200, 205
 and economic security 206–9, 316
 and environmental/resource security 231–5, 239–40, 243–8, 255
 and interstate war 91, 96–7
 and intrastate war 98, 104–6
 and population trends 280–304
 and public health 256–79
 and terrorism 156, 173
 and weapons proliferation 125, 137
 levels of analysis 6, 10–11, 16, 20, 22, 27–8, 34, 36, 41, 43–4, 46–7
 Lévi-Strauss, Claude 10
 lewisite 128
 liberalism 16, 27–9, 32–4, 36, 38, 52, 287, 306, 308, 311, 317
 and interstate war 80, 86–8, 90, 93–4
 and intrastate war 112
 classical/ethical/normative 28, 139, 308, 317
 democratic/republican 28, 169, 303–4, 308
 economic/commercial 28, 208, 215, 219, 222, 225, 229, 231, 308, 317
 Grotian/institutional/legal/regulatory 17, 20, 86–8, 90, 93, 308
 neo-liberalism 28, 308

- social/communicative/cognitive 28–9, 33, 296, 308, 317
- Liberation Tigers of Tamil Eelam (LTTE, Sri Lanka) 159, 162, 168, 175
- Liberia 110, 118–19, 122, 187
- Libya 35, 89, 130–1, 146, 148, 164, 167, 170–1, 241
- life expectancy 297
- Light a Million Candles campaign 198
- liquidity *see* money
- Lithuania 35–6
- Lockheed Martin 182
- log-rolling *see* bargains
- logic of collective action 51
- London 128, 201
- terror bombings (2005) 34, 176
- long cycle theory 75–6
- Lord's Resistance Army (Uganda) 288
- Luxembourg 35–6, 202, 249, 299
- Macedonia, former Yugoslav Republic of 118–19
- Madrid terror bombings (2004) 176
- Mafia/Mafiosi/Maffiya 180, 187, 202
- Maine 187
- Malaria 260
- Malaysia 188, 199, 285
- Malta 35
- Mamud, Mamdough 130
- Manchuria 90
- Marburg virus 128
- Mariel boatlift 288
- marijuana *see* cannabis
- markets 7, 38, 42, 54, 60, 206–29, 231, 234, 241–2, 246, 317–18
- Marshall Islands 198
- Marshall Plan 169
- Marxism 17, 19–20, 27–30, 32, 76, 79, 226, 317
- Marx, Karl 19
- McKinnon, Gary 201
- McMahon Act (US, 1946) 139
- McNamara, Robert 281
- MDMA 184
- Mearsheimer, John 28
- measles/mumps/rubella (MMR) 271
- Médecins Sans Frontières 106, 263
- media 36, 45, 56, 112, 155–6, 160, 260, 266, 271, 277–9, 305, 309
- mediation *see* arbitration
- medical practices/medicines 257, 271–2
- men 27, 29–33, 284, 295, 301
- mercantilism 211
- mercenaries/mercenaryism 8, 84, 311–12
- see also* private military contractors
- mergers and acquisitions (M&As) 40
- methane 239
- see also* greenhouse gases
- Mexico 36, 186, 189–90, 196, 203, 220–2, 224–5, 240–1, 273–4, 277, 284, 290, 298–9, 301
- MI5 (UK) 160, 173–4, 193
- MI6 (UK) 174
- micronations 189
- Micronesia 299
- Middle East 26–7, 79, 101, 159–61, 169, 177–8, 233, 235, 239–42, 247, 285, 297
- migrants/migration 38–9, 42, 67, 152, 170, 180, 187, 196–7, 217, 221, 229, 238, 264, 272, 279–305, 309, 311, 313–14
- Milan 314
- militarism 78, 83, 95
- military bases 163, 269
- militaries/military forces 8, 19, 23, 26, 40, 60–1, 64, 306, 313, 315, 318
- and crime 188–9
- and environmental/resource security 242–3
- and international security studies 3–5, 13, 58
- and interstate war 70–97
- and intrastate war 98–124
- and population trends 293, 296–7
- and public health 259
- and terrorism 163, 173
- and weapons proliferation 135, 139, 145–7
- covert action/special forces 87, 168
- see also* militias, police
- military-industrial complex 83, 134–5
- Military Professional Resources Incorporated 84
- military spending *see* weapons
- militias/para-military forces 99, 105, 110, 113, 164, 288
- Millennium Development Goals (UN) 208
- Milošević, Slobodan 122
- Minuteman Civil Defence Corps (US) 290
- minorities *see* ethnic groups
- missile defences *see* national missile defences
- mission creep *see* task expansion
- Missouri 188
- Moldova 189
- money/monetary issues 206–29
- devaluations 211, 218
- foreign exchange reserves 192, 214, 221–2
- monetary crises 18–19, 206–29, 309
- money laundering 37, 170, 180–1, 191–205
- Montreal Protocol (1987) 247, 251, 255
- Morales, Evo 203, 205
- moral hazard 227
- morbidity rates 261, 268, 270
- Morgan Stanley 314–5
- Morocco 128
- Moro Islamic Liberation Front (Philippines) 175
- mortality rates 261, 268, 270
- infant 103, 256, 291, 297
- maternal 297
- Moscow 147
- Moscow Treaty (2002 Treaty on Strategic Offensive Reductions) 141–2, 144
- MQ-1 Predator drone 138
- MQ-6 Reaper drone 138
- multilateral action/multilateralism 39, 44, 52, 54, 63–5, 90, 311, 316, 318
- and crime 194–205
- and economic security 226–9
- and environmental/resource security 2 31, 244–55
- and interstate wars 77, 85–7, 93–4
- and intrastate wars 101, 124
- and population trends 300–4
- and public health 272–9
- and terrorism 167, 169–72
- and weapons proliferation 135–50
- Multilateral (Drug) Evaluation Mechanism (OAS) 195
- multilateral environmental agreements (MEAs) 245–55, 308
- multinational corporations (MNCs) 7, 37, 59, 110, 183, 190, 218, 226–7, 229, 239–41, 309, 312
- see also* firms, non-governmental organizations, non-state actors
- multiple independently targetable re-entry vehicle (MIRVs) 127, 142
- multipolarity 24, 75, 91
- Mumbai 94, 176, 284
- murder 158, 189, 300
- Muslims *see* Islam

- mustard gas 128, 131
 mutual assured destruction (MAD) 11–12, 75,
 94–5, 127, 137
 mutual legal assistance treaties (MLATs) 164, 190,
 194, 308
 see also extradition
 Myanmar 131, 183, 198
 see also Burma
 myths/taboo 271–2

 narcotics *see* trafficking
 Nagasaki 127
 Nagorno-Karabakh 189
 Namibia 115
 ‘naming and shaming’ 250, 252–3
 narcissism 159
 narco-guerrillas/narco-terrorism *see* terrorism
 National Aeronautics and Space Administration
 (NASA, US) 182
 National Front (France) 286
 nations/nationhood/nationalism 26, 31, 79, 83,
 102, 158, 296
 National Liberation Front (Algeria) 162, 177
 National Organization for the Reform of Marijuana
 Laws (NORML)(US) 187
 national missile defences 24, 127, 134, 139, 142,
 144, 147
 National Union Attack (Bulgaria) 286
 Nauru 198
 Nazis/Nazism (Germany) 23, 27, 80, 82, 292, 304
 negotiation *see* bargains
 neo-Gramscian theory 20
 neo-liberalism *see* liberalism
 neo-realism *see* realism
 nepotism 275
 Netanyahu, Binyamin 146
 Netherlands 35–6, 184, 212, 241, 249, 286, 299
 neutrality 26–27, 86
 see also non-aligned movement
 neutron bombs *see* radiation weapons
 Nevada 187
 new civil wars
 New Jersey 241
 New York 243
 New Zealand 23, 36, 89, 91–2, 240, 249, 274, 299
 Nigeria 161, 182, 196–8, 201, 241, 243, 262
 Nimrod aircraft 314
 9/11 terror attacks (US) 34, 69, 90, 151, 154–7,
 165, 168–70, 173, 176–7, 197, 217, 275
 ‘NINJA’ borrowers 227
 Niue 198
 Nixon, Richard 144
 ‘no first use’ pledges 86
 No More Deaths 290
 Nobel Prizes 236
 non-aggression pacts 18, 21, 86
 non-aligned movement 26–7
 non-combatants *see* civilians
 non-governmental organizations (NGOs) 7, 37, 45,
 59, 62, 69, 87, 106, 108, 112, 133, 135–8,
 182, 238–9, 268, 291, 303, 309, 312, 314, 316
 see also non-state actors
 non-intervention norm 73
 non-nuclear weapon states (NNWS) 141–2
 non-state actor(s) 5–7, 38, 40–1, 44, 59–61, 100,
 102, 116, 125–6, 133, 153, 179, 189, 309–10
 see also firms, non-governmental organization
 Noriega, Manuel 199
 normative theory 14–15, 29, 68
 North American Free Trade Agreement (NAFTA)
 183, 190

 North Atlantic Treaty Organization (NATO) 7, 23,
 28, 35, 67, 89, 313, 315, 318
 and Afghanistan 119
 and counter-piracy 199
 and Kosovo 116–19
 burden-sharing 91–2
 enlargement 122, 147
 member states 36
 ‘out of area’ question 26
 persistence of 88–9
 Standing Maritime Group 199
 Northern Ireland 108, 162, 165, 267, 290
 North Korea (Democratic People’s Republic of
 Korea) 25, 79, 89, 129–31, 146–50, 167,
 198
 North–South conflicts/gap 20, 60, 216, 240, 257,
 270, 272, 276–7, 279, 284, 286, 291–2, 317
 Norway 36, 104, 240, 249, 299
 (nuclear) Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) 141–50
 nuclear weapons 155, 157, 176, 274, 314
 and interstate war 25–26, 46, 56, 60, 75, 77, 82,
 85, 89, 92, 94–6
 proliferation 125–50, 242
 strategic/thermonuclear 11, 23–4, 26, 127
 battlefield/tactical 24, 127
 see also weapons
 nuclear weapon states (NWS) 141, 147–50
 nuclear-weapons-free zones 145, 147, 150
 nuclear winter 132
 Nuremberg 128
 nurses 277
 nutrition/nutritionists 257, 259, 263, 270

 Obama, Barack 91, 143, 147, 178, 193, 196, 267,
 298, 308
 observer missions 114
 occupation, military 79, 87, 93–4, 168
 offence–defence balance 76–7, 81
 ‘Ogoni Nine’ 243
 oil *see* fossil fuels
 Oman 188
 Operation Allied Provider 199
 Operation Atalanta (EU NAVFOR) 199
 Operation Desert Storm *see* Gulf War (1991)
 Operation Enduring Freedom 198
 Operation Provide Comfort 300
 Operation Overt 178
 opium 191, 196, 202–3
 Organisation for Economic Co-operation and
 Development (OECD) 35, 129, 195, 197–8,
 201–2, 214–16, 221, 224, 239, 241, 249, 260,
 275–6, 283–4, 294, 304, 316, 318
 and globalization 39
 member states 36
 Organization for the Prohibition of Chemical
 Weapons 143
 Organization of American States (OAS) 172,
 194–5, 197
 Organization of the Islamic Conference 172
 Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries
 (OPEC) 240–1, 245, 249, 252
 organized crime *see* crime
 ‘orphan drugs’ 267
 orphans 259
 see also children, infanticide
 Osirik 139
 Oslo Accords (1993) 110
 Oslo Process 143
Ostpolitik 26
 Ottoman empire 115, 157
 Ottawa Treaty *see* Blinding Laser Weapons Protocol

- outerspace 311
 Outer Space Treaty (1967) 142, 147
 oversight 8, 84, 189
 ozone depletion/layer 51, 230–55, 263
- P-5 *see* UN Security Council
 Pacific, Western 276, 297
 Pact of Paris (1928) *see* Kellogg–Briand Pact
 paedophile networks 200
 Paisanos al Rescate (US) 290
 Pakistan 34, 313
 and crime 188–9, 196, 199
 and interstate war 73, 86, 94
 and intrastate war 111, 119
 and population trends 286, 300
 and terrorism 164, 175, 178
 and weapons proliferation 130–1, 138, 146–50
 Palermo 194
 Palestine/Palestinians 27, 115, 119, 161, 177–8, 285
 Palestinian Authority 88
 Palestine Liberation Organization (PLO) 110, 175
 Panama 106, 196, 199
 Pan Am flight #103 170–1
 pandemics *see* disease
 Panić, Milan 122
 Paraguay 196
 Partial Test Ban Treaty (1963) 142
 partition theory 115, 119
 Party for Freedom (Netherlands) 286
 passports 293
 patents *see* rights
 pawn shops 186
 peace and conflict studies 28
 Peace Corps (US) 168
 peace enforcement missions 114
 peacekeeping/peacemaking missions 84, 114, 116–24, 297
 peak oil theory 237
 Pearl Harbor 80
 Pennsylvania 241
 People's Will (Russia) 175
 perception/misperception 22, 81
 personality 159, 175
 Peru 157–8, 162, 184, 196, 199, 203
 petroleum *see* fossil fuels
 Pfizer 272
 pharmaceutical firms/industry 144, 267, 271–2, 274
 pharmacologists 263
 Philippines 89, 161, 198, 285
 phishing 182
 phosgene 128
 physicians 263, 277–8
 piracy/pirates 84, 180–1, 186, 188, 190–1, 198–9, 311, 314
 plague, bubonic 128
 Plan Colombia 199
 plea-bargaining 190
 plutonium 127
 poison 260
 Poland 23, 26, 35–6, 79, 90, 92, 212, 286
 police/policing/police forces/police missions 7–8, 40, 45, 61, 88, 96, 129, 149, 169, 172–4, 178–9, 183, 187–9, 194, 200–1, 217, 255
 City of London Police 174
 Metropolitan Police of London 174
 New York Police Department 173
 see also military forces
 polio 260, 262, 276
 political parties 48
 politicize/politicization 4, 6, 14, 56, 69
 'polluter pays' principle 244
 pollution 287
 see also environment, waste
 population 37, 42, 103, 120, 127, 132, 152–3, 155, 179, 182, 229, 231, 237, 242, 256–8, 261–2, 271, 279–304
 Population Reference Bureau 282
 pornography 180, 193
 Portugal 35–6, 249, 253
 Posse Comitatus Act (1878, US) 188–9, 199
 post-conflict transitions 42
 post-positivism 48
 Powell, Colin 56–7
 Powell, Jonathan 178
 power transition theory 76
 poverty 96, 208, 259, 272, 318–9
 Prague 147
 preferences, policy 49, 52, 59–60, 307
 and crime 186–91
 and economic security 215–8
 and environmental/resource security 235, 240–4
 and interstate war 77–84
 and intrastate war 105–10
 and population trends 290–4
 and public health 263–7
 and terrorism 160–3
 and weapons proliferation 135–6
 Preferred Provider Organizations (PPOs) 266
 pregnancy/procreation 272, 277, 289, 292–3, 301
 Presidential Decision Document #42 192
 prisoner's dilemma *see* game theory
 prisoners of war (POWs) 72
 privacy 167, 172, 293
 private military contractors (PMCs) 7, 37, 59, 61, 84, 87, 98, 110, 153, 164, 189–90, 309
 privateers *see* mercenaries
 procreation *see* pregnancy
 professors 310
 Prohibition era (US) 184–5, 200
 proportionality, principle of 86
 prostitutes/prostitution 180, 187, 276
 protection rackets 180, 186, 193
 protest movements 152, 314
 psychological factors/psychology 22, 81, 158–9, 237
 public goods/public goods theory 50–1, 140, 230
 Puerto Rico 190
 Pugwash Group 133
 punishment 66
 Putin, Vladimir 147
 Pyongyang *see* North Korea
- Qatar 241
 quantitative easing 222
 quarantines *see* containment
 quasi-nongovernmental organizations (quangos, UK) 189
 Queer Nation 268
 Quebec Conference (1943) 140
 quota cheating 241
- radiation weapons 128, 132, 176
 'rally round the flag' effect 82
 RAND Corporation 25
 rape 100, 259
 rationality/rational action 12, 14, 48–9, 69–70, 80–1, 106, 139
 raw materials *see* resources
 rays, ultraviolet B (UV-B) 233, 236

- Reagan, Ronald 192, 268
 real estate 223
 realism 8, 13, 16, 28, 32–4, 44, 49, 52, 75, 306–11, 315
 and Cold War 21–7
 and interstate war 88, 91, 93–4
 and intrastate war 122
 classical 19–20
 defensive 19–20, 88
 neo-realism/structural realism 24, 29–30
 offensive 28
 rebels *see* resistance movements
 recessions *see* economic crises
 reconnaissance 94
 Reconstruction era (US) 189
 Red Army Faction (Germany) 175
 Red Brigades (Italy) 175
 Refugee Acton Group 290
 refugees 85, 101, 114, 117, 280–304, 306, 311
 regions/regional politics and security 7, 27, 32, 35, 62, 66, 311, 313
 and crime 194–205
 and economic security 207–29
 and environmental/resource security 234, 245
 and interstate war 79, 85
 and intrastate war 116–17, 121–2
 and public health 257–8, 260, 262–5
 and terrorism 156, 171–2
 and weapons proliferation 136, 145, 147, 150
 regime change 6
 regime type *see* governments
 relative gains problems 22, 226, 228–9
 Relenza *see* antibiotics/antivirals
 religion/religious groups 15, 100, 153, 158–9, 265, 271
 see also specific religions
 rendition, extraordinary *see* kidnapping
 Republican political party (US) 221
 Republic of the Congo 89
 reputation 68, 123
 Rescorla, Rick 314–15
 resistance movements 98, 104–5, 107–11, 114, 116, 121–3, 164, 179–80, 182, 185
 resources, natural 20, 55, 80, 152–3, 230–55, 264, 285, 287, 314
 Responsibility to Protect (R2P) 42, 113, 116, 295
 Responsible Care Programme 239–40
 retirees 283
 revisionary/revisionist posture 6, 76, 79, 94, 207, 215
 Revolutionary Armed Forces of Colombia (FARC) 175, 196
 Revolutionary Nuclei (Greece) 167
 Revolutionary United Front (Sierra Leone) 187
 rewards programmes 169–70, 195
 ricin 128
 rights
 citizenship 285, 292, 297–8, 303
 human 14–15, 21, 42, 84–5, 100, 102, 109, 112, 113, 124, 157, 168, 188, 259, 289, 291–3
 intellectual property 42, 50, 275
 patent 275, 317
 rimantadine *see* antibiotics/antivirals
 robbery/theft 183, 198–9
 Rockefeller, John D. 241
 rogue state(s) *see* state(s)
 Romans/Roman law 28
 Romania 35–6, 122, 273
 Rowland–Molina hypothesis 236
 Royal Dutch/Shell Oil Co. 241, 243
 Russia/Russian Federation/Russian empire 35–6, 156, 276
 and crime 182–3, 187, 193, 198–9
 and economic security 213, 221, 223, 226
 and environmental/resource security 240–1, 243, 248, 252
 and interstate war 76, 89–92
 and intrastate war 99, 111–12, 118
 and public health 273
 and weapons proliferation 130–1, 140, 142–50
 as P-5 member 58, 143
 rouble 221
 State Research Centre of Virology and Biotechnology 276
 see also BRIC bloc, Commonwealth of Independent States, Soviet Union
 Russian Business Network 182
 Russo–Japanese War (1904–05) 82
 Rwanda 34, 89, 98, 106, 110, 113, 123, 288, 290, 302
 safety/safe havens/safe zones 297, 300
 sanctions 12, 60, 80, 86–7, 122, 135, 140, 167, 185
 Sandia National Labs 182
 sanitation 68, 235, 256, 257, 270, 284
 São Tomé and Príncipe 89
 Sarin 128
 Saro-Wiwa, Ken 243
 Saudi Arabia 188, 199, 212, 241, 243
 Scandinavia 31
 scapegoat theory of war *see* diversionary theory of war
 schools 271, 277
 science/scientific community/scientists 130–4, 144, 236, 238, 244, 263, 268, 273
 Scotland 171, 267
 secessionism/secessionist movements 85, 281
 securitization 47–8
 security community *see* collective security
 security dilemma 22, 50, 106, 121, 126, 139
 Sendero Luminoso *see* Shining Path
 Senegal 103, 276
 separatism/separatist movements 98, 107–108
 September 11, 2001 terror attacks (US) *see* 9/11 terror attacks
 Serbia–Montenegro/Serbs 92, 117, 131
 see also Yugoslavia
 Seoul 284
 Seven Sisters oil firms 241–2
 Seven Years' War (1755–63) 74
 Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome (SARS) 258, 263, 268, 272–3, 276
 sex/sexual abuse/sexual practices 243, 257, 272, 298
 Shanghai 301
 shanty towns *see* slums
 Shanghai Cooperation Organization 89
 Shell Oil Co. *see* Royal Dutch/Shell Oil
 Shining Path (Peru) 157, 162, 175
 shipping industry/ships 189–91, 252, 266
 Siberia 132
 Sicily 194
 side-payments 65, 86, 92
 Sierra Leone 104, 108, 118, 187
 Sinai Peninsula 89
 Singapore 40, 129, 188, 216
 Sinn Féin 170
 Sino–Soviet split 26
 Skilling, Jeffrey 227
 slavery/slave-trading 191

- Slovakia/Slovak Republic 35–6, 111
 Slovak National Party 286
- Slovenia 35–6
 slums 284
 smallpox 128, 131, 144, 262, 276
- Smoot–Hawley Tariff Act (US; 1930) 19
- smuggling *see* trafficking
- Socony–Vacuum Co. 241
- soldiers 276, 288
see also military forces
- Solomon Islands 118
- Somalia 32, 89, 99, 106, 108, 123, 175, 184, 188,
 190–1, 198–9, 260, 300, 314
- soman 128
- South Africa 40, 118, 129, 131, 146, 148, 212,
 272, 274–5, 286
- South America 26, 107, 115, 145, 183, 188–9, 199,
 203–5, 220, 224, 283, 297
- South Asia 220, 235, 285
- South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation
 172
- South Korea (Republic of Korea) 25, 36, 40, 79,
 129, 216, 222, 240
- South Ossetia 118
- South Pacific 145
- Southeast Asia 145, 285
 Southeast Asia Treaty Organization (SEATO)
 23, 88
- Southwest Asia 91
- sovereignty 3, 73, 303
- Soviet Union 35, 80, 112, 251
 and Commonwealth of Independent States 89
 and intrastate war 116
 and the Cold War 22–7, 67, 81, 88, 93–5, 165
 and public health 262
 and the Spanish Civil War 21
 and weapons proliferation 127–8, 130, 132,
 139–50
 as P-5 member 90
 collapse of 2, 27, 32–4, 88, 183
 military interventions by 13, 22–3, 300
 nuclear forces 24
see also Commonwealth of Independent States,
 Russia
- Spain 21, 35–6, 128, 212, 223, 249, 253, 289, 296
 Spanish Civil War 21
- Special Forces 61, 195, 199
- Srebrenica massacre 300
- Sri Lanka 101, 104, 159, 162, 168, 300
- St. Kitts/Nevis 198
- St. Vincent and the Grenadines 198
- stag hunt game *see* game theory
- Standard Oil Co. 241
 Standard Oil Co. of California 241
 Standard Oil Co. of New Jersey 241
- state(s) 20, 32, 37–41, 48, 54, 58, 61, 66, 69,
 307–8, 310, 312
 and crime 180–1, 183, 189
 and economic security 207, 219, 222
 and environmental/resource security 237, 244,
 255
 and human security 42–4, 319
 and international security 3–7, 14, 62
 and interstate war 71, 73, 79–80, 85, 93–4,
 96–7
 and intrastate war 99–101
 and population trends 285, 289–92, 296, 303
 and public health 257, 259–60, 277, 279
 and terrorism 153–4, 160–2, 165, 167, 169
 and weapons proliferation 125–6, 133–5
 black spots/para-states/quasi-states 189
 buffer 79
 death 79, 94
 failed/weak 34, 37, 79, 98–124, 148, 152, 155,
 180–1, 183–4, 206, 219, 222, 257, 265, 309,
 312, 319
 rogue 78–79, 130
 small island states 234, 240
 state-building 93, 110, 112, 114–15, 120, 122
 State Failure Task Force (US) 102
 stateless persons 285
 status quo policy/posture 6, 76, 79, 94, 96, 111,
 113, 161, 207, 215, 249, 296
- Stern Gang (Israel) 175, 178
- Stern Review on the Economics of Climate Change
 254
- Straight of Hormuz 198
- Strategic Arms Limitations Treaties (SALT) 141–2
- Strategic Arms Reductions Treaties (START)
 141–2, 144
- strategy/strategic analysis/strategic studies 4, 9,
 25–6, 310–11
- 'strikeback' policy (UK/US) 198
- strikes 152
- Sweden 35–6, 104, 212, 224, 249, 299
- Switzerland 36, 79, 104, 202, 212, 223, 240, 249
- Sudan 102, 118–19, 123, 131, 167, 283, 302
see also Darfur
- surveillance 170, 188, 190, 262, 267–8, 273, 277,
 314
- syphilis 260
- Syria 35, 130–1, 146, 167, 286
- tabun 128
- Taiwan 23, 40, 129, 131, 216
- Tajikistan 89
- Taliban 34, 162, 187
- Tamiflu *see* antibiotics/antivirals
- Tanzania 286
- target drift *see* task expansion
- tariffs 209, 211, 218, 225
see also trade
- task expansion 90, 120
- Task Forces (naval) Nos 150 and 151 198
- taxes/tax havens/taxing 3, 8, 43, 110, 197, 202,
 244, 254, 275, 287, 289, 292
- Taylor, Charles 187
- technology 38, 40, 46, 60, 66, 76, 94, 126, 129,
 136–7, 139, 141, 144, 149, 180, 206, 236,
 254, 257, 263–4, 269, 281, 287, 314
- territory 3, 54, 77, 79, 83, 86, 88, 109, 115, 117,
 155–6, 161–2, 182, 230–55
- terrorism/terrorists 7, 9, 34, 41, 45, 58, 66–7,
 88–9, 94–6, 98–9, 101–2, 108, 110, 116, 124,
 134, 151–78, 217, 220, 236, 242, 257, 268,
 274–6, 278–9, 287, 294, 302, 305, 311–12,
 314, 317
 and crime 180, 182, 185, 187, 192–4, 196–7,
 200–4
 and weapons proliferation 125–6, 129, 132–4,
 137, 149
 bioterrorism 170, 257, 259, 268, 274
 suicide 159–60
see also insurgency, and specific terrorist groups
- Tesler, Jeffrey 201
- Texas 199–200
- Thailand 103, 105, 196–7, 220, 222, 224, 276,
 298, 301
- thermal imaging 268
- Thirty Years' War (1618–48) 73–4
- '3 by 5' plan 272, 276
- Tokyo 128

- torture 41, 61, 100, 157, 163–4, 168, 177–8, 314
tourism/tourists 272, 276, 279
trade 12, 38–9, 42, 64, 103, 212–13, 222, 225–6,
234, 257, 282, 296, 302, 317
trade/tariff war 18–19, 22, 209
trafficking 101, 180–1, 195
weapons 41, 101, 116, 179–81, 185, 192, 314
drugs/narcotics 57, 110, 179–205, 305, 312,
314
human 88, 180–1, 193–4, 201
transatlantic conflicts/relations/security 14–15, 35,
37, 60, 88, 139, 167, 240
transition, demographic 291, 294, 302, 304
Transnistria 189
transport/transportation/travel 4, 38–41, 157, 167,
172, 183, 193, 218, 231, 264–5, 267, 271,
273, 309, 311
Treaty of Bangkok 145
Treaty of Lisbon 89
Treaty of Pelindaba 145
Treaty of Rarotonga 145
Treaty of Tlatelolco 145
Treaty on Strategic Offensive Reductions *see*
Moscow Treaty
Triad Societies 183
trinitrotoluene (TNT) 127
trusteeship 115, 117
tuberculosis (TB) 260
extreme drug-resistant (XDR-TB) 260, 270, 272
tularaemia 128
Turkey 23, 26, 36, 199, 212, 232, 249, 273
Tutsi 106
typhus 128
- Uganda 91, 276, 282–3, 288
Ukraine 132, 142, 148, 198, 240, 243
Ulster Defence Forces (Northern Ireland) 162
Umbrella Group 240
unemployment 287
unilateral action/unilateralism 39, 43, 63, 65, 70,
77, 86, 89, 94, 96, 139–40, 143–4, 167–72,
194, 196, 199, 203–5, 222, 252, 296, 300,
303, 315
Union of Concerned Scientists 133
Union of Soviet Socialist Republics (USSR) *see*
Soviet Union
unions, labour 185, 203, 290
unipolarity/unipolar moment 13, 24, 75, 93
United Airlines Flight #93 315
United Arab Emirates 241, 299
United Kingdom (UK) 7, 35–6
Advisory Council on the Misuse of Drugs
(ACMD) 184
and crime 181–4, 189, 191, 193–4, 198, 200–2
and economic security 210, 213–29
and environmental/resource security 241–3,
245, 249–50
and globalization 39
and Gulf War (1991) 92
and interstate war 76, 81–2, 93
and intrastate war 104, 108
and Iraq War (2003) 81
and population trends 286, 289, 293, 299, 302
and public health 260, 262, 267, 271, 274,
277–8
and terrorism 152–3, 157–8, 160, 163–5,
169–71, 173–4, 176–8
and weapons proliferation 131, 134–5, 140, 143
appeasement strategy 18
as G-7/G-8 member 58
as P-5 member 58, 90
Chancellor of the Exchequer 216
Health Protection Agency 269
Joint Terrorism Analysis Centre 174
Ministry of Defence 314
National Health Service (NHS) 267, 277
nuclear forces 24, 314
Parliament 164, 182
Serious Organised Crime Agency (SOCA) 174,
182, 193, 200
Special Immigration Appeal Court (SIAC) 170
United Nations/UN system (UN) 28, 45
and crime 181, 183
and economic security 208, 220
and environmental/resource security 235,
244–52
and human security 42, 317–9
and interstate war 82, 88
and intrastate war 107, 110–11, 114–24
and Iraq War (2003) 56–7
and population trends 290–1, 294–5, 300
and public health 263–4, 272–3
and terrorism 153, 160, 165, 171
and weapons proliferation 130, 138, 143, 145–8
*Final Report of the UN Commission on Human
Security* (2003) 41, 197
Joint UN Programme on HIV/AIDS (UNAIDS)
261, 264–5, 268
UN Centre for International Crime Prevention
192
UN Conference on the Human Environment
(1972) 244
UN Convention Against Illicit Traffic in Narcotic
Drugs and Psychotropic Substances 192, 197
UN Convention Against Transnational Organized
Crime 192, 197
UN Conference on Trade and Development 214
UN Development Programme (UNDP) 235,
247, 252
UN Environmental Programme 244
UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO)
235, 264
UN Framework Convention on Climate Change
(UN FCCC) 245, 247–8
UN Fund for Population Activities (UNFPA)
297, 300
UN High Commissioner for Refugees (UNHCR)
282, 285, 290–1, 295–6, 298, 302
UN Human Settlements Programme (UN
HABITAT) 284
UN Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
(IPCC) 236, 244–5
UN International Drug Control Programme
192, 196
UN Millennium Development Goals 297
UN Office on Drugs and Crime (UNODC) 192
UN Population Fund 297
UN World Population Conference (Bucharest)
294
United Nations Security Council (UNSC) 28, 85,
87, 93, 116–17, 145–50, 171, 198–9, 268,
315–16
P-5 members of 58, 85, 90–1, 129, 132, 143,
145–6, 315
UNSC Resolution No. 1816 199
United Self-Defence Forces of Colombia (AUC)
162, 196
United States of America (US) 7, 36, 45, 60, 312,
314
and Afghanistan 69, 72
and crime 181–206
and economic security 206–29

- and environmental/resource security 232, 235, 239–46, 249, 253–4
- and globalization 40–1
- and international security studies 11–13
- and interstate war 75–7, 80–2, 89–91, 93
- and intrastate war 106, 108, 110, 112, 116, 122–4
- and population trends 282–4, 288–90, 296–300
- and public health 266, 271–2, 274–5, 278
- and terrorism 151–8, 161, 163–78
- and the Cold War 22–7, 33, 95
- and the Gulf War (1991) 92
- and the Iraq War (2003) 56–7, 72, 81, 84
- and Vietnam 2
- and weapons proliferation 127–8, 131, 134–5, 138–50
- and Yugoslavia 85
- as G-2/G-3/G-7/G-8 member 58
- as P-5 member 58, 90
- Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act (1970) 192
- Cyberspace Policy Review 193
- dollar 208, 219, 226
- hegemony/decline 14, 34, 80, 219–29, 313–5
- military interventions by 13, 300
- nuclear forces 24
- President's Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief 272
- Southern region 164, 189
- US African Command 119
- US Agency for International Development 168
- US *Annual International Narcotics Control Strategy Report* 196
- US Anti-Terrorism Law (1996) 170
- USA Patriot Act (2001) 170
- US Army Medical Research Institute of Infectious Diseases (USAMRIID) 144–5
- US Bureau for International Narcotics and Law Enforcement Affairs 200
- US Bureau of Alcohol, Tobacco, Firearms, and Explosives (ATF) 45
- US Civil War 18, 189
- US Congress (US) 83, 128, 182, 196, 203, 221, 239, 266, 300
- US Customs and Border Protection agency 185–6, 290
- US Department of Commerce 145, 275
- US Department of Defense 157, 182, 193, 201, 268
- US Department of Homeland Security (DHS) 173, 193, 200
- US Department of Justice 200
- US Department of State 154, 162, 166–7, 173, 182, 196, 203, 244
- US Department of the Treasury 170, 197, 200, 216
- US Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) 200, 205
- US Drug Trafficking Vessel Interdiction Assistance Act (2008) 204
- US Environmental Protection Agency 244
- US Federal Bureau of Narcotics 200
- US Federal Centers for Disease Control (CDC) 266, 269, 276–7
- US Federal Reserve 210
- US Foreign Assistance Act 275
- US Foreign Terrorist Asset Tracking Center 170, 197
- US Glass–Steagall Act (Banking Act, 1933) 223
- US H1B Visa programme 298
- US Homeland Security Advisory System 174
- US Immigration and Customs Enforcement Agency (ICE) 196–7
- US Information Agency 168
- US Military Commissions Act (2006) 170
- US National Drug Intelligence Center 200
- US National Intelligence Estimates 261
- US National Security Agency (NSA) 193
- US National Security Council 173, 216, 268, 275
- US National Security Strategy 217, 245
- US Office of National AIDS Policy 275
- US Office of National Drug Control Policy (ONDCP) 200
- US Senate 18, 59, 140–1, 143, 246, 253
- US Strategic Petroleum Reserve 246
- US Supreme Court 170
- US Trade Representative 275
- US Troubled Asset Relief Program 227
- universities 310
- uranium-235 (U-235) 127, 130
- urbanization 152, 179, 231, 257, 284
- urban millennium 284
- urban–rural conflicts 284
- Uzbekistan 89, 161
- V-2 missile 128
- vaccinations/vaccines 67, 139, 257, 262, 264, 266–7, 270–1, 273, 277–8
- values 53–7
- vandalism 152, 177
- Venezuela 196, 241
- Vienna Convention (1985) 247
- Vietnam 2, 89, 131, 188, 273, 298, 315
- Vietnam War 25, 89, 100
- vigilantes/vigilantism 286
- viruses, computer 182
- vivisection 177
- voters 222
- see also* elections
- VX gas 128
- Wales 267, 271
- Waltz, Kenneth 24
- warlords 105
- War of Dutch Independence/Spanish Armada (1585–1609) 74
- War of Jenkins' Ear/Austrian Succession (1738–48) 74
- War of the League of Augsburg (1688–97) 74
- War of the Spanish Succession (1701–13) 74
- war on terror *see* terrorism
- warfare/wars 5, 20, 30–1, 40–1, 43, 57, 61, 66, 154, 206, 237, 263, 287, 295, 298, 305
- asymmetric *see* insurgency
- extrastate 71, 99
- great/major power 32–3, 73, 77, 80, 94, 96, 98, 211, 262, 309
- guerrilla/unconventional 152
- intrastate/domestic/civil/internal 34, 61, 71, 80, 85, 95, 97–124, 155, 163, 183, 257, 259, 261, 281, 285, 291, 293, 297, 302–3, 310–11, 317
- international/interstate 54, 61, 63, 70–97, 101, 168, 184, 285, 291, 297, 310
- limited/low intensity 87, 89–90, 152, 199
- nuclear 25–6, 46, 56, 60, 95, 315
- pre-emptive/preventative 80, 90, 92–3, 96
- resource 232–3, 259
- total 72, 90
- undeclared 90
- see also specific wars*
- warlords 105, 180

- Warsaw Treaty Organization 23, 88–9
 Washington 128
 Washington Arms Treaty (1922) 140
 ‘Washington consensus’ 216, 223, 227
 waste, hazardous/toxic 180, 231–2, 242
 water supplies/water stress 68, 129, 132, 142, 198,
 230–56, 311, 318
 Waxman, Henry 57
 weak state(s) *see* state(s)
 weapons 27, 52, 152, 180, 231, 316
 and crime 183, 185, 188
 and interstate war 71–3, 75, 77, 83
 biological 125–50, 176, 257, 268, 274
 blinding laser 138, 148, 316
 chemical 72, 90, 125–50, 176, 257, 268, 274,
 278
 cluster bombs/munitions 137–8, 143
 control and disarmament 21, 26, 33, 86, 117,
 125–50, 308
 conventional (CW) 23–4, 95, 125–50
 development/industry/trade 8, 12, 40, 55, 64,
 83, 86, 95, 125–50, 208, 242
 dirty bombs 126–7
 embargoes 113, 185
 fuel-air explosives 150
 landmines 59, 137–8
 non-lethal/pain 148
 of mass destruction (WMDs) 23, 57, 85–6, 90,
 94, 125–50, 155, 157, 176, 243
 procurement/spending 75–7, 95
 proliferation 41, 55, 77, 91, 94, 124–50, 191
 races/racing 19–20, 22–4, 83, 137, 206
 small arms/light weapons 129, 136–8
 trafficking *see* trafficking
 unmanned aerial vehicles 138, 148
 see also nuclear weapons
 weather 232–3
 Weather Underground 175
 West Germany 26
 see also Germany
 West/Western world 13–15, 18, 27–8, 30, 37, 41,
 93, 112, 120, 124, 157–8, 161, 164, 178, 243,
 257
 West Nile virus 260
 Western Sahara 118
 Westphalian order 73
 White House 200, 275
 see also United States of America
 wiretapping *see* surveillance
 Wilson, Woodrow 17
 women 29–33, 98, 180–1, 201, 257, 275, 277,
 282, 284, 295, 298, 301
 workers *see* labour
 World AIDS Day 268
 World Bank 106, 197, 214–15, 227, 281
 World Health Organization (WHO) 184, 260–3,
 266, 269–70, 272–9, 289, 291
 World Intellectual Property Organization 214
 World Meteorological Organization 244
 World Organization for Animal Health (OIE) 264
 world systems theory 20, 76
 World Trade Center 217, 314–15
 World Trade Organization (WTO) 208, 214, 218,
 264–5, 283
 see also General Agreement on Tariffs and Trade
 (GATT)
 World War I (1914–18) 67, 72, 74, 81, 83, 88, 90,
 92, 128, 134, 140, 298
 and international relations/security 1, 17–21
 World War II (1939–45) 34, 67, 74, 80, 87–90,
 92–6, 100, 102, 111, 115, 119, 123, 128, 134,
 159, 169, 210, 219, 225, 291, 294, 296, 300,
 315
 and international relations/security 1, 17, 19–21
 worst cases 5, 25, 54, 279
 Xinjiang province 161
 Yakuza 183, 202
 yellow fever 128
 Yeltsin, Boris 36
 Yemen 175
 Yugoslavia 34, 71, 81, 84–5, 105, 111, 114, 117,
 122, 185, 187, 313
 Zaire 89
 see also Democratic Republic of the Congo
 Zambia 103, 276
 Zedong, Mao 284
 Zelaya, Manuel 107
 zero-sum game 54, 77
 Zimbabwe 188, 275